

Fesenko reciprocity map

Kâzım İlhan İKEDA and Erol SERBEST

Dedicated to our teacher Mehpare Bilhan

Abstract

In [1, 2, 3], Fesenko has defined the non-abelian local reciprocity map for every totally-ramified arithmetically profinite (*APF*) Galois extension of a given local field K by extending the works of Hazewinkel [8] and Neukirch-Iwasawa [15]. The theory of Fesenko extends the previous non-abelian generalizations of local class field theory given by Koch-de Shalit [13] and by A. Gurevich [7]. In this paper, which is research-expository in nature, we give a detailed account of Fesenko's work, and include all the proofs that are skipped in [1, 2, 3].

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 11S37

Keywords : Local fields, higher-ramification theory, *APF*-extensions, Fontaine-Wintenberger field of norms, Fesenko reciprocity map, non-abelian local class field theory, p -adic local Langlands correspondence.

In a series of very interesting papers [1, 2, 3], Fesenko has defined the non-abelian local reciprocity map for every totally-ramified arithmetically profinite (*APF*) Galois extension of a given local field K by extending the works of Hazewinkel [8] and Neukirch-Iwasawa [15]. “Fesenko theory” extends the previous non-abelian generalizations of local class field theory given by Koch and de Shalit in [13] and by A. Gurevich in [7].

In this paper, which is research-expository in nature, we give a very detailed account of Fesenko's work [1, 2, 3], thereby complementing them by including all the proofs. Let us describe how our paper is organized: In the first part, we briefly review abelian local class field theory and the construction of the local Artin reciprocity map following Hazewinkel method and Neukirch-Iwasawa method. In parts 3 and 4, following [4], [5, 6], and [17], we review the theory of *APF*-extensions over K , and sketch the construction of Fontaine-Wintenberger's field of norms $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ attached to an *APF*-extension L/K . In order to do so, in part 2, we briefly review the ramification theory of K . Finally, in part 5, we give a detailed construction of the Fesenko reciprocity map $\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}$ defined for any totally-ramified and *APF*-Galois extension L over K under the assumption that $\mu_p(K^{sep}) \subset K$, where $p = \text{char}(\kappa_K)$, and investigate the functorial and ramification-theoretic properties of the Fesenko reciprocity maps defined for totally-ramified and *APF*-Galois extensions over K .

In a companion paper [10], we shall extend the construction of Fesenko to *any* Galois extension of K (in a fixed K^{sep}), and construct the non-abelian local class field theory. Thus, we feel that, the present paper together with [1, 2, 3] should be viewed as the technical and theoretical background, an introduction, as well as an appendix to our companion paper [10] on “generalized Fesenko theory”.

A similar theory has been announced by Laubie in [14], which is an extension of the work of Koch and de Shalit [13]. The relationship of Laubie theory with our generalized Fesenko theory will be investigated in our companion paper as well.

Acknowledgements

The first named author (K. I. I) would like to thank to the Institut de Mathématiques, “Théorie des Groupes, Représentations et Applications”, Université Paris 7, Jussieu, Paris, and to the School of Pure Mathematics of the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, for their hospitality and support, where some parts of this work have been completed. The second named author (E. S.) would like to thank to TÜBITAK for a fellowship, and to the School of Mathematics of the University of Nottingham for the hospitality and support, where some parts of this work have been introduced. Both of the authors would like to thank I. B. Fesenko for his interest and encouragement at all stages of this work.

Notation

All through this work, K will denote a local field (a complete discrete valuation field) with finite residue field $O_K/\mathfrak{p}_K =: \kappa_K$ of $q_K = q = p^f$ elements with p a prime number, where O_K denotes the ring of integers in K with the unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_K$. Let ν_K denote the corresponding normalized valuation on K (normalized by $\nu_K(K^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$), and $\tilde{\nu}$ the unique extension of ν_K to a fixed separable closure K^{sep} of K . For any sub-extension L/K of K^{sep}/K , the normalized form of the valuation $\tilde{\nu}|_L$ on L will be denoted by ν_L . Finally, let G_K denote the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$.

1 Abelian local class field theory

Let K be a local field. Fix a separable closure K^{sep} of the local field K . Let G_K denote the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$ of K . By the construction of absolute Galois groups, G_K is a pro-finite topological group with respect to the Krull topology. Now let G_K^{ab} denote the maximal abelian Hausdorff quotient group G_K/G'_K of the topological group G_K , where G'_K denotes the closure of the 1st-commutator subgroup $[G_K, G_K]$ of G_K .

Recall that, abelian local class field theory for the local field K establishes a unique natural algebraic and topological isomorphism

$$\alpha_K : \widehat{K^\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_K^{ab},$$

where the topological group $\widehat{K^\times}$ denotes the pro-finite completion of the multiplicative group $K^\times$, satisfying the following conditions

- (1) Let W_K denote the Weil group of K . Then

$$\alpha_K(K^\times) = W_K^{ab};$$

- (2) for every abelian extension L/K (always assumed to be a sub-extension of K^{sep}/K , where a separable closure K^{sep} of K is fixed all through the

remainder of the text), the surjective and continuous homomorphism

$$\alpha_{L/K} : \widehat{K^\times} \xrightarrow{\alpha_K} G_K^{ab} \xrightarrow{\text{res}_L} \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

satisfies

$$\ker(\alpha_{L/K}) = N_{L/K}(\widehat{L^\times}) = \bigcap_{\substack{K \subseteq F \subseteq L \\ \text{finite}}} N_{F/K}(\widehat{F^\times}) =: \mathcal{N}_L;$$

(3) for each abelian extension L/K , the mapping

$$L \mapsto \mathcal{N}_L$$

defines a bijective correspondence

$$\{L/K : \text{abelian}\} \leftrightarrow \{\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{N} \leq_{\text{closed}} \widehat{K^\times}\},$$

which satisfies the following conditions: for every abelian extension L, L_1 , and L_2 over K ,

- (i) L/K is a finite extension if and only if $\mathcal{N}_L \leq_{\text{open}} \widehat{K^\times}$ (which is equivalent to $(\widehat{K^\times} : \mathcal{N}_L) < \infty$);
 - (ii) $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{L_1} \supseteq \mathcal{N}_{L_2}$;
 - (iii) $\mathcal{N}_{L_1 L_2} = \mathcal{N}_{L_1} \cap \mathcal{N}_{L_2}$;
 - (iv) $\mathcal{N}_{L_1 \cap L_2} = \mathcal{N}_{L_1} \mathcal{N}_{L_2}$.
- (4) (Ramification theory)¹. Let L/K be an abelian extension. For every integer $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for every real number $\nu \in (i-1, i]$,

$$x \in U_K^i \mathcal{N}_L \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{L/K}(x) \in \text{Gal}(L/K)^\nu,$$

where $x \in \widehat{K^\times}$.

(5) (Functoriality). Let L/K be an abelian extension.

(i) For $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(K)$,

$$\alpha_K(\gamma(x)) = \tilde{\gamma} \alpha_K(x) \tilde{\gamma}^{-1},$$

for every $x \in \widehat{K^\times}$, where $\tilde{\gamma} : K^{ab} \rightarrow K^{ab}$ is any automorphism of the field K^{ab} satisfying $\tilde{\gamma}|_K = \gamma$;

(ii) under the condition $[L : K] < \infty$,

$$\alpha_L(x)|_{K^{ab}} = \alpha_K(N_{L/K}(x)),$$

for every $x \in \widehat{L^\times}$;

¹We shall review the higher-ramification subgroups $\text{Gal}(L/K)^\nu$ of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ (in upper-numbering) in the next section.

(iii) under the condition $[L : K] < \infty$,

$$\alpha_L(x) = V_{K \rightarrow L}(\alpha_K(x)),$$

for every $x \in \widehat{K^\times}$, where $V_{K \rightarrow L} : G_K^{ab} \rightarrow G_L^{ab}$ is the group-theoretic transfer homomorphism (Verlagerung).

This unique algebraic and topological isomorphism $\alpha_K : \widehat{K^\times} \rightarrow G_K^{ab}$ is called the *local Artin reciprocity map of K* .

There are many constructions of the local Artin reciprocity map of K including the *cohomological* and *analytical* constructions. Now, in the remainder of this section, we shall review the construction of the local Artin reciprocity map $\alpha_K : \widehat{K^\times} \rightarrow G_K^{ab}$ of K following Hazewinkel ([8]) and Iwasawa-Neukirch ([12], [15]). As usual, let K^{nr} denote the maximal unramified extension of K . It is well-known that K^{nr} is not a complete field with respect to the valuation $\nu_{K^{nr}}$ on K^{nr} induced from the valuation ν_K of K . Let $\tilde{K}$ denotes the completion of K^{nr} with respect to the valuation $\nu_{K^{nr}}$ on K^{nr} . For a Galois extension L/K , let $L^{nr} = LK^{nr}$ and $\tilde{L} = L\tilde{K}$. For each $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, choose $\tau^* \in \text{Gal}(L^{nr}/K)$ in such a way that:

- (1) $\tau^*|_L = \tau$;
- (2) $\tau^*|_{K^{nr}} = \varphi^n$, for some $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(K^{nr}/K)$ denotes the (arithmetic) Frobenius automorphism of K .

Let the fixed-field $(L^{nr})^{\tau^*} = \{x \in L^{nr} : \tau^*(x) = x\}$ of this chosen $\tau^* \in \text{Gal}(L^{nr}/K)$ in L^{nr} be denoted by Σ_{τ^*} , which satisfies $[\Sigma_{\tau^*} : K] < \infty$.

The Iwasawa-Neukirch mapping

$$\iota_{L/K} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times)$$

is then defined by

$$\iota_{L/K} : \tau \mapsto N_{\Sigma_{\tau^*}/K}(\pi_{\Sigma_{\tau^*}}) \pmod{N_{L/K}(L^\times)},$$

for every $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, where $\pi_{\Sigma_{\tau^*}}$ denotes any prime element of Σ_{τ^*} .

Suppose now that the Galois extension L/K is furthermore a totally-ramified and finite extension. Let $\tilde{V}(L/K)$ be the subgroup of the unit group $U_{\tilde{L}} = O_{\tilde{L}}^\times$ of the ring of integers $O_{\tilde{L}}$ of the local field $\tilde{L}$ defined by

$$\tilde{V}(L/K) = \langle u^{\sigma-1} : u \in U_{\tilde{L}}, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K) \rangle.$$

Then the homomorphism

$$\theta : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_{\tilde{L}}/\tilde{V}(L/K)$$

defined by

$$\theta : \sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} \pmod{\tilde{V}(L/K)},$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, makes the following triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Gal}(L/K) & & \\
 \downarrow \text{can.} & \searrow \theta & \\
 & & U_{\tilde{L}}/\tilde{V}(L/K) \\
 & \nearrow \theta_o & \\
 \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab} & &
 \end{array}$$

commutative. The quotient $U_{\tilde{L}}/\tilde{V}(L/K)$ sits in the Serre short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab} \xrightarrow{\theta_o} U_{\tilde{L}}/\tilde{V}(L/K) \xrightarrow{N_{\tilde{L}/\tilde{K}}} U_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $V(L/K)$ denote the subgroup of the unit group $U_{L^{nr}}$ of the ring of integers $O_{L^{nr}}$ of the maximal unramified extension L^{nr} of the local field L defined by

$$V(L/K) = \langle u^{\sigma-1} : u \in U_{L^{nr}}, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K) \rangle.$$

The quotient $U_{L^{nr}}/V(L/K)$ sits in the Serre short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab} \xrightarrow{\theta_o} U_{L^{nr}}/V(L/K) \xrightarrow{N_{L^{nr}/K^{nr}}} U_{K^{nr}} \rightarrow 1. \quad (1.2)$$

As before, let $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(K^{nr}/K)$ denote the Frobenius automorphism of K . Fix any extension of the automorphism φ of K^{nr} to an automorphism of L^{nr} , denoted again by φ . Now, for any $u \in U_K$, there exists $v_u \in U_{L^{nr}}$, such that $u = N_{L^{nr}/K^{nr}}(v_u)$. Then, the equality

$$N_{L^{nr}/K^{nr}}(\varphi(v_u)) = \varphi(N_{L^{nr}/K^{nr}}(v_u)) = \varphi(u) = u,$$

combined with the Serre short exact sequence, yields the existence of $\sigma_u \in \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab}$ satisfying

$$\theta_o(\sigma_u) = \frac{\sigma_u(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} = \frac{v_u}{\varphi(v_u)}.$$

The Hazewinkel mapping

$$h_{L/K} : U_K/N_{L/K}U_L \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab}$$

is then defined by

$$h_{L/K} : u \mapsto \sigma_u,$$

for every $u \in U_K$.

It turns out that, for L/K totally-ramified and finite Galois extension, the Hazewinkel mapping $h_{L/K} : U_K/N_{L/K}U_L \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab}$ and the Iwasawa-Neukirch mapping $\iota_{L/K} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow K^\times/N_{L/K}(L^\times)$ are the inverses of each other. Thus, by the uniqueness of the local Artin reciprocity map $\alpha_K : \widehat{K^\times} \rightarrow G_K^{ab}$ of the local field K , it follows that the Hazewinkel map, the Iwasawa-Neukirch map, and the local Artin map are related with each other as

$$h_{L/K} = \alpha_{L/K}$$

and

$$\iota_{L/K} = \alpha_{L/K}^{-1}.$$

2 Review of ramification theory

In this section, we shall review the higher-ramification subgroups in upper-numbering of the absolute Galois group G_K of the local field K , which is necessary in the theory of APF -extensions over K . The main reference that we follow for this section is [16].

For a finite separable extension L/K , and for any $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(L, K^{sep})$, introduce

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) := \min_{x \in O_L} \{\nu_L(\sigma(x) - x)\},$$

put

$$\gamma_t := \#\{\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(L, K^{sep}) : i_{L/K}(\sigma) \geq t + 1\},$$

for $-1 \leq t \in \mathbb{R}$, and define the function $\varphi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$, the *Hasse-Herbrand transition function of the extension L/K* , by

$$\varphi_{L/K}(u) := \begin{cases} \int_0^u \frac{\gamma_t}{\gamma_0} dt, & 0 \leq u \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u, & -1 \leq u \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that, $\varphi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ is a continuous, monotone-increasing, piecewise linear function, and induces a homeomorphism $\mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$. Now, let $\psi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ be the mapping inverse to the function $\varphi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$.

Assume that L is a finite Galois extension over K with Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K) =: G$. The normal subgroup G_u of G defined by

$$G_u = \{\sigma \in G : i_{L/K}(\sigma) \geq u + 1\}$$

for $-1 \leq u \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the u^{th} *ramification group of G in the lower numbering*, and has order γ_u . Note that, there is the inclusion $G_{u'} \subseteq G_u$ for every pair $-1 \leq u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $u \leq u'$. The family $\{G_u\}_{u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}}$ induces a filtration on G , called the *lower ramification filtration of G* . A *break in the lower ramification filtration* $\{G_u\}_{u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}}$ of G is defined to be any number $u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ satisfying $G_u \neq G_{u+\varepsilon}$ for every $0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $\psi_{L/K} = \varphi_{L/K}^{-1} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ induces the *upper ramification filtration* $\{G^v\}_{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}}$ on G by setting

$$G^v := G_{\psi_{L/K}(v)},$$

or equivalently, by setting

$$G^{\varphi_{L/K}(u)} = G_u$$

for $-1 \leq v, u \in \mathbb{R}$, where G^v is called the v^{th} *upper ramification group of G* . A *break in the upper filtration* $\{G^v\}_{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}}$ of G is defined to be any number $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ satisfying $G^v \neq G^{v+\varepsilon}$ for every $0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.1. The basic properties of lower and upper ramification filtrations on G are as follows:

In what follows, F/K denotes a sub-extension of L/K and H denotes the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ corresponding to the extension L/F .

- (i) The lower numbering on G passess well to the subgroup H of G in the sense that

$$H_u = H \cap G_u$$

for $-1 \leq u \in \mathbb{R}$;

- (ii) and if furthermore, $H \triangleleft G$, the upper numbering on G passess well to the quotient G/H as

$$(G/H)^v = G^v H/H$$

for $-1 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (iii) The Hasse-Herbrand function and its inverse satisfy the transitive law

$$\varphi_{L/K} = \varphi_{F/K} \circ \varphi_{L/F}$$

and

$$\psi_{L/K} = \psi_{L/F} \circ \psi_{F/K}.$$

If L/K is an *infinite* Galois extension with Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K) = G$, which is a topological group under the respective Krull topology, define the upper ramification filtration $\{G^v\}_{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}}$ on G by the projective limit

$$G^v := \varprojlim_{K \subseteq F \subseteq L} \text{Gal}(F/K)^v \quad (2.1)$$

defined over the transition morphisms $t_F^{F'}(v) : \text{Gal}(F'/K)^v \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F/K)^v$, which are essentially the restriction morphisms from F' to F , defined naturally by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(F/K)^v & \xleftarrow{t_F^{F'}(v)} & \text{Gal}(F'/K)^v \\ & \searrow \text{isomorphism} & \swarrow \text{can.} \\ & \text{Gal}(F'/K)^v \text{Gal}(F'/F)/\text{Gal}(F'/F) & \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

introduced in (ii)

induced from (ii), as $K \subseteq F \subseteq F' \subseteq L$ runs over all finite Galois extensions F and F' over K inside L . The topological subgroup G^v of G is called the v^{th} ramification group of G in the upper numbering. Note that, there is the inclusion $G^{v'} \subseteq G^v$ for every pair $-1 \leq v, v' \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $v \leq v'$ via the commutativity of the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(F/K)^v & \xleftarrow{t_F^{F'}(v)} & \text{Gal}(F'/K)^v \\ \text{inc.} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{inc.} \\ \text{Gal}(F/K)^{v'} & \xleftarrow{t_F^{F'}(v')} & \text{Gal}(F'/K)^{v'} \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

for every chain $K \subseteq F \subseteq F' \subseteq L$ of finite Galois extensions F and F' over K inside L . Observe that

- (iv) $G^{-1} = G$ and G^0 is the inertia subgroup of G ;

- (v) $\bigcap_{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}} G^v = \langle 1_G \rangle$;

- (vi) G^v is a closed subgroup of G , with respect to the Krull topology, for $-1 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this setting, a number $-1 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *break in the upper ramification filtration* $\{G^v\}_{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}}$ of G , if v is a break in the upper filtration of some finite quotient G/H for some $H \triangleleft G$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{L/K}$ denotes the set of all numbers $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$, which occur as breaks in the upper ramification filtration of G . Then,

- (vii) (**Hasse-Arf theorem.**) $\mathcal{B}_{K^{ab}/K} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$;

- (viii) $\mathcal{B}_{K^{sep}/K} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$.

3 APF-extensions over K

In this section, we shall briefly review a very important class of algebraic extensions, called the *APF*-extensions, over a local field K introduced by Fontaine and Wintenberger (cf. [5, 6] and [17]). As in the previous section, let $\{G_K^v\}_{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}}$ denote the upper ramification filtration of the absolute Galois group G_K of K , and let R^v denote the fixed field $(K^{sep})^{G_K^v}$ of the v^{th} upper ramification subgroup G_K^v of G_K in K^{sep} for $-1 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.1. An extension L/K is called an *APF-extension* (*APF* is the shortening for “arithmétique profinie”), if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $G_K^v G_L$ is open in G_K for every $-1 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $(G_K : G_K^v G_L) < \infty$ for every $-1 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iii) $L \cap R^v$ is a finite extension over K for every $-1 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that, if L/K is an *APF*-extension, then $[\kappa_L : \kappa_K] < \infty$.

Now, let L/K be an *APF*-extension. Set $G_L^0 = G_L \cap G_K^0$, and define

$$\varphi_{L/K}(v) = \begin{cases} \int_0^v (G_K^0 : G_L^0 G_K^x) dx, & 0 \leq v \in \mathbb{R}; \\ v, & -1 \leq v \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then the map $v \mapsto \varphi_{L/K}(v)$ for $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$, which is well-defined for the *APF*-extension L/K , defines a continuous, strictly-increasing and piecewise-linear bijection $\varphi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$. We denote the inverse of this mapping by $\psi_{L/K} := \varphi_{L/K}^{-1} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$.

Thus, if L/K is a (not necessarily finite) Galois *APF*-extension, then we can define the higher ramification subgroups in lower numbering $\text{Gal}(L/K)_u$ of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$, for $-1 \leq u \in \mathbb{R}$, by setting

$$\text{Gal}(L/K)_u := \text{Gal}(L/K)^{\varphi_{L/K}(u)}.$$

Remark 3.2. Note that,

- (i) In case L/K is a finite separable extension, which is clearly an *APF*-extension by Definition 3.1, the function $\varphi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ coincides with the Hasse-Herbrand transition function of L/K introduced in the previous section;

- (ii) if L/K is a finite separable extension and L'/L is an APF -extension, then L'/K is an APF -extension, and the transitivity rules for the functions $\varphi_{L'/K}, \psi_{L'/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ hold by

$$\varphi_{L'/K} = \varphi_{L/K} \circ \varphi_{L'/L}$$

and by

$$\psi_{L'/K} = \psi_{L'/L} \circ \psi_{L/K}.$$

The following result will be extremely useful.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $K \subseteq F \subseteq L \subseteq K^{sep}$ is a tower of field extensions in K^{sep} over K . Then,*

- (i) *If $[F : K] < \infty$, then L/K is an APF -extension if and only if L/F is an APF -extension.*
- (ii) *If $[L : F] < \infty$, then L/K is an APF -extension if and only if F/K is an APF -extension.*
- (iii) *If L/K is an APF -extension, then F/K is an APF -extension.*

Proof. For a proof, look at Proposition 1.2.3. of [17]. □

4 Fontaine-Wintenberger fields of norms

Let L/K be an *infinite* APF -extension. Let L_i for $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an increasing directed-family of sub-extensions in L/K such that:

- (i) $[L_i : K] < \infty$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (ii) $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i = L$.

Let

$$\mathbb{X}(L/K)^\times = \varprojlim_i L_i^\times$$

be the projective limit of the multiplicative groups $L_i^\times$ with respect to the norm homomorphisms

$$N_{L_{i'}/L_i} : L_{i'}^\times \rightarrow L_i^\times,$$

for every $0 \leq i, i' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \leq i'$.

Remark 4.1. The group $\mathbb{X}(L/K)^\times$ does not depend on the choice of the increasing directed-family of sub-extensions $\{L_i\}_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in L/K satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii). Thus,

$$\mathbb{X}(L/K)^\times = \varprojlim_{M \in S_{L/K}} M^\times,$$

where $S_{L/K}$ is the partially-ordered family of all finite sub-extensions in L/K , and the projective limit is with respect to the norm

$$N_{M_2/M_1} : M_2^\times \rightarrow M_1^\times,$$

for every $M_1, M_2 \in S_{L/K}$ with $M_1 \subseteq M_2$.

Put

$$\mathbb{X}(L/K) = \mathbb{X}(L/K)^\times \cup \{0\},$$

where 0 is a fixed symbol, and define the addition

$$+ : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \times \mathbb{X}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(L/K)$$

by the rule

$$(\alpha_M) + (\beta_M) = (\gamma_M),$$

where $\gamma_M \in M$ is defined by the limit

$$\gamma_M = \lim_{\substack{M \subset M' \in S_{L/K} \\ [M':M] \rightarrow \infty}} N_{M'/M}(\alpha_{M'} + \beta_{M'}), \quad (4.1)$$

which exists in the local field M , for every $M \in S_{L/K}$.

Remark 4.2. Note that, for $(\alpha_M), (\beta_M) \in \mathbb{X}(L/K)$, the law of composition

$$((\alpha_M), (\beta_M)) \mapsto (\alpha_M) + (\beta_M) = (\gamma_M)$$

given by eq. (4.1) is well-defined, since L/K is assumed to be an *APF*-extension (cf. Theorem 2.1.3. of [17]).

It then follows that,

Theorem 4.3 (Fontaine-Wintenberger). *Let L/K be an APF-extension. Then $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ is a field under the addition*

$$+ : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \times \mathbb{X}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(L/K),$$

defined by eq. (4.1), and under the multiplication

$$\times : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \times \mathbb{X}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(L/K)$$

defined naturally from the componentwise multiplication defined on $\mathbb{X}(L/K)^\times$. This field $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ is called the field of norms corresponding to the APF-extension L/K .

Now, in particular, choose the following specific increasing directed-family of sub-extensions $\{L_i\}_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in L/K :

- (i) L_0 is the maximal unramified extension of K inside L ;
- (ii) L_1 is the maximal tamely ramified extension of K inside L ;
- (iii) choose L_i , for $i \geq 2$, inductively as a finite extension of L_1 inside L with $L_i \subseteq L_{i+1}$ and $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i = L$.

Note that, L_0/K is a finite sub-extension of L/K and by the definition of tamely ramified extensions, $L_0 \subseteq L_1$, with $[L_1 : K] < \infty$. Thus, for any element $(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$,

$$\nu_{L_i}(\alpha_{L_i}) = \nu_{L_0}(\alpha_{L_0}), \quad (4.2)$$

for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the mapping

$$\nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

given by

$$\nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}((\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \nu_{L_0}(\alpha_{L_0}), \quad (4.3)$$

for $(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K)$, is well-defined, and moreover a discrete valuation on $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$, in view of eq. (4.2).

Theorem 4.4 (Fontaine-Wintenberger). *Let L/K be an APF-extension, and let $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ be the field of norms attached to L/K . Then,*

- (i) *the field $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ is complete with respect to the discrete valuation $\nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by eq. (4.3);*
- (ii) *the residue class field $\kappa_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ of $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ satisfies $\kappa_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa_L$;*
- (iii) *the characteristic of the field $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ is equal to $\text{char}(\kappa_K)$.*

Proof. For a proof, look at Theorem 2.1.3 of [17]. $\square$

Remark 4.5. The ring of integers $O_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ of the local field (complete discrete valuation field) $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ is defined as usual by

$$O_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} = \{(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K) : \nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}((\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \geq 0\}.$$

Thus, by eq.s (4.3) and (4.2), for $\alpha = (\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K)$, the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in O_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$;
- (ii) $\alpha_{L_i} \in O_{L_i}$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ of $O_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} = \{(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K) : \nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}((\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \geq 1\}.$$

By eq.s (4.3) and (4.2), for $\alpha = (\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K)$, the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (iii) $(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$;
- (iv) $\alpha_{L_i} \in \mathfrak{p}_{L_i}$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The unit group $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ of $O_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ is defined by

$$U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} = \{(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K) : \nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}((\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = 0\}.$$

Again by eq.s (4.3) and (4.2), for $\alpha = (\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K)$, the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (v) $(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$;
- (vi) $\alpha_{L_i} \in U_{L_i}$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let L/K be an infinite APF-extension. Consider the following tower

$$K \subseteq F \subseteq L \subseteq E \subseteq K^{sep}$$

of extensions over K , where $[F : K] < \infty$ and $[E : L] < \infty$. It then follows, by Lemma 3.3 parts (i) and (ii), that L/F is an infinite *APF*-extension satisfying

$$\mathbb{X}(L/K) = \mathbb{X}(L/F),$$

by the definition of field of norms, and E/K is an infinite *APF*-extension satisfying

$$\mathbb{X}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E/K)$$

under the injective topological homomorphism

$$\varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M)} : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(E/K),$$

which depends on a finite extension M over K satisfying $LM = E$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & LM = E \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ L & & M \\ \downarrow \text{infinite APF-ext.} & \nearrow [M:K] < \infty & \\ K & & \end{array}$$

The topological embedding $\varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M)} : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E/K)$ is defined as follows. Let $\{L_i\}_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an increasing directed-family of sub-extensions in L/K , such that $[L_i : K] < \infty$, for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i = L$. Then, clearly, $\{L_i M\}_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an increasing directed-family of sub-extensions in E/K , such that $[L_i M : K] < \infty$, for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i M = E$. Given these two directed-families, there exists a large enough positive integer $m = m(M)$, which depends on the choice of M , such that, for $m \leq i \leq j$,

$$N_{L_j M / L_i M}(x) = N_{L_j / L_i}(x),$$

for each $x \in L_j$. Now, the topological embedding $\varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M)} : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E/K)$ is defined, for every $(\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{X}(L/K) - \{0\}$, by

$$\varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M)} : (\alpha_{L_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (\alpha'_{L_i M})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\alpha'_{L_i M} \in L_i M$ is defined, for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, by

$$\alpha'_{L_i M} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{L_i}, & i \geq m \\ N_{L_m M / L_i M}(\alpha_{L_m}), & i < m. \end{cases}$$

Thus, under the topological embedding $\varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M)} : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E/K)$, view $\mathbb{X}(E/K)/\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ as an extension of complete discrete valuation fields. At this point, the following remark is in order.

Remark 4.6. Let L/K be an infinite *APF*-extension and E/L a finite extension. Suppose that M and M' are two finite extensions over K , satisfying $LM = LM' = E$. Then the embeddings $\varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M)}, \varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M')} : \mathbb{X}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E/K)$ are the same. Therefore, as a notation, we shall set $\varepsilon_{L,E}^{(M)} = \varepsilon_{L,E}$.

Now, given an infinite *APF*-extension L/K , and this time let E be a (not necessarily finite) separable extension of L . Let $S_{E/L}^{sep}$ denote the partially-ordered family of all finite separable sub-extensions in E/L . Then,

Proposition 4.7.

$$\{\mathbb{X}(E'/K); \varepsilon_{E', E''} : \mathbb{X}(E'/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E''/K)\}_{\substack{E', E'' \in S_{E/L}^{sep} \\ E' \subseteq E''}}$$

is an inductive system under the topological embeddings

$$\varepsilon_{E', E''} : \mathbb{X}(E'/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E''/K)$$

for $E', E'' \in S_{E/L}^{sep}$ with $E' \subseteq E''$.

Let $\mathbb{X}(E, L/K)$ denote the topological field defined by the inductive limit

$$\mathbb{X}(E, L/K) = \varinjlim_{E' \in S_{E/L}^{sep}} \mathbb{X}(E'/K)$$

defined over the transition morphisms $\varepsilon_{E', E''} : \mathbb{X}(E'/K) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}(E''/K)$ for $E', E'' \in S_{E/L}^{sep}$ with $E' \subseteq E''$.

The following theorem is central in the theory of fields of norms.

Theorem 4.8 (Fontaine-Wintenberger). *Let L/K be an *APF*-extension and E/L a Galois extension. Then $\mathbb{X}(E, L/K)/\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ is a Galois extension, and*

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{X}(E, L/K)/\mathbb{X}(L/K)) \simeq \text{Gal}(E/L)$$

canonically.

An immediate and important consequence of this theorem is the following.

Corollary 4.9. *Let L/K be an *APF*-extension. Then*

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{X}(L^{sep}, L/K)/\mathbb{X}(L/K)) \simeq \text{Gal}(L^{sep}/L)$$

canonically.

5 Fesenko reciprocity law

In this section, we shall review the Fesenko reciprocity law for the local field K following [1, 2, 3].

Following [13], we recall the following definition.

Definition 5.1. Let $\varphi = \varphi_K \in \text{Gal}(K^{nr}/K)$ denote the Frobenius automorphism of K . An automorphism $\xi \in \text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$ is called a *Lubin-Tate splitting* over K , if $\xi|_{K^{nr}} = \varphi$.

All through the remainder of the text, we shall fix a Lubin-Tate splitting over the local field K and denote it simply by φ , or by φ_K if there is fear of confusion. Let K_φ denote the fixed field $(K^{sep})^\varphi$ of $\varphi \in G_K$ in K^{sep} .

Let L/K be a totally-ramified *APF*-Galois extension satisfying

$$K \subseteq L \subseteq K_\varphi. \tag{5.1}$$

The field of norms $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ is a local field by virtue of Theorem 4.4. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)$ denote the completion $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)$ of $\mathbb{X}(L/K)^{nr}$ with respect to the valuation $\nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)^{nr}}$, which is the unique extension of the valuation $\nu_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ to $\mathbb{X}(L/K)^{nr}$. As usual, we let $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ to denote the unit group of the ring of integers $O_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ of the complete field $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)$. In this case, there exist isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{sep}((T))$$

and

$$U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{sep}[[T]]^\times$$

defined by the mechanism of Coleman power series (for details, q.v. section 1.4 in [13]). Thus, the algebraic structures $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)$ and $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ initially seems to depend on the ground field K only. However, as we shall state in Corollary 5.7, the law of composition on the “class formation”, which is a certain sub-quotient of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$, does indeed depend on the $Gal(L/K)$ -module structure of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$.

Remark 5.2. The problem of removing this dependence on the Galois-module structure of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ is closely connected with Sen’s infinite-dimensional Hodge-Tate theory ([11]), or more generally with the p -adic Langlands program.

As in section 1, let $\widetilde{K}$ denote the completion of K^{nr} with respect to the valuation $\nu_{K^{nr}}$ on K^{nr} , and let $\widetilde{L} = L\widetilde{K}$. Then $\widetilde{L}/\widetilde{K}$ is an *APF*-extension, as L/K is an *APF*-extension, and the corresponding field of norms satisfy

$$\mathbb{X}(\widetilde{L}/\widetilde{K}) = \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K). \quad (5.2)$$

Now, let

$$\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K}} : U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{K}} \quad (5.3)$$

denote the projection map on the $\widetilde{K}$ -coordinate of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ under the identification described in eq. (5.2). All through the text, $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1$ stands for the kernel $\ker(\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K}})$ of the projection map $\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K}} : U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{K}}$.

Definition 5.3. The subgroup

$$\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K}}^{-1}(U_K) = \{U \in U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} : \text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K}}(U) \in U_K\}$$

of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ is called the *Fesenko diamond subgroup* of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$, and denoted by $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$.

Now, following [1, 2, 3], choose an ascending chain of field extensions

$$K = E_o \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \subset \cdots \subset L$$

in such a way that

- (i) $L = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_i$;
- (ii) E_i/K is a Galois extension for each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (iii) E_{i+1}/E_i is cyclic of prime degree $[E_{i+1} : E_i] = p = \text{char}(\kappa_K)$ for each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(iv) E_1/E_o is cyclic of degree relatively prime to p .

Such a sequence $(E_i)_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ exists, as L/K is a solvable Galois extension, and will be called as a *basic ascending chain of sub-extensions in L/K* . Then, we can construct $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ by the basic sequence $(E_i)_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)$ by $(\tilde{E}_i)_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Note that, the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ corresponding to the extension L/K act continuously on $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ and on $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)$ naturally by defining the Galois-action of $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ on the chain

$$K = E_o \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \subset \cdots \subset L, \quad (5.4)$$

by the action of σ on each E_i for $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$K = E_o^\sigma \subset E_1^\sigma = E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i^\sigma = E_i \subset \cdots \subset L, \quad (5.5)$$

and respectively on the chain

$$\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}E_o \subset \tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{K}E_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{E}_i = \tilde{K}E_i \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{L} = \tilde{K}L, \quad (5.6)$$

by the action of σ on the “ E_i -part” of each $\tilde{E}_i$ (note that, $E_i \cap K^{nr} = K$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \tilde{K}E_i = \tilde{E}_i \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \tilde{K} \quad \quad E_i \\ \downarrow \quad \swarrow \\ K \quad E_i/K \text{ Galois ext.} \\ [E_i : K] < \infty \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \rightsquigarrow \\ \rightsquigarrow \\ \rightsquigarrow \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \tilde{K}E_i^\sigma = \tilde{E}_i^\sigma = \tilde{E}_i \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \tilde{K} \quad \quad E_i^\sigma = E_i \\ \downarrow \quad \swarrow \\ K \quad E_i^\sigma/K \text{ Galois ext.} \\ [E_i^\sigma : K] < \infty \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

completion of max.-ur.-ext. of K

for $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}E_o^\sigma \subset \tilde{K}E_1^\sigma = \tilde{K}E_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{K}E_i^\sigma = \tilde{K}E_i \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{K}L. \quad (5.7)$$

Therefore, there exist natural continuous actions of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ on $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$, $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$, and on $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\circ$ compatible with the respective topological group structures, so that *we shall always view them as topological $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -modules in this text*. Now, recall the following theorem regarding norm compatible sequences of prime elements (cf. [13]).

Theorem 5.4 (Koch-de Shalit). *Assume that $K \subseteq L \subset K_\varphi$. Then for any chain*

$$K = E_o \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \subset \cdots \subset L,$$

of finite sub-extensions of L/K , there exists a unique norm-compatible sequence

$$\pi_{E_o}, \pi_{E_1}, \cdots, \pi_{E_i}, \cdots,$$

where each π_{E_i} is a prime element of E_i , for $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In view of the theorem of Koch and de Shalit, define the *natural* prime element $\Pi_{\varphi;L/K}$ of the local field $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$, which depends on the fixed Lubin-Tate splitting φ (cf. [13]) as well as the sub-extension L/K of K_{φ}/K , by

$$\Pi_{\varphi;L/K} = (\pi_{E_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Note that, by the theorem of Koch and de Shalit, the prime element $\Pi_{\varphi;L/K}$ of $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$ does *not* depend on the choice of a chain $(E_i)_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of finite sub-extensions of L/K .

Theorem 5.5 (Fesenko). *For each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, there exists $U_{\sigma} \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^{\diamond}$ which solves the equation*

$$U^{1-\varphi} = \Pi_{\varphi;L/K}^{\sigma-1} \quad (5.8)$$

for U . Moreover, the solution set of this equation consists of elements from the coset $U_{\sigma} \cdot U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ of U_{σ} modulo $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$.

In fact, for the most general form of this theorem and its proof, look at [9]. Now, define the arrow

$$\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^{\diamond} / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \quad (5.9)$$

by

$$\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \sigma \mapsto \overline{U}_{\sigma} = U_{\sigma} \cdot U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}, \quad (5.10)$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$.

Theorem 5.6 (Fesenko). *The arrow*

$$\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^{\diamond} / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$$

defined for the extension L/K is injective, and for every $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$,

$$\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma\tau) = \phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma)\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\tau)^{\sigma} \quad (5.11)$$

co-cycle condition is satisfied.

A natural consequence of this theorem is the following result. Let $\text{im}(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}) \subseteq U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^{\diamond} / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ denote the image set of the mapping $\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}$.

Corollary 5.7. *Define a law of composition $*$ on $\text{im}(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})$ by*

$$\overline{U} * \overline{V} = \overline{U} \cdot \overline{V}^{(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})^{-1}(\overline{U})} \quad (5.12)$$

for every $\overline{U}, \overline{V} \in \text{im}(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})$. Then $\text{im}(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})$ is a topological group under $*$, and the map $\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}$ induces an isomorphism of topological groups

$$\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im}(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}), \quad (5.13)$$

where the topological group structure on $\text{im}(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})$ is defined with respect to the binary operation $*$ defined by eq. (5.12).

Now, for each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the i^{th} higher unit group $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^i$ of the field $\mathbb{X}(L/K)$, and define the group

$$\left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^i = U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \cap U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^i. \quad (5.14)$$

Theorem 5.8 (Fesenko ramification theorem). *For $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\text{Gal}(L/K)_n$ denote the n^{th} higher ramification subgroup of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ corresponding to the APF-Galois sub-extension L/K of K_φ/K in the lower numbering. Then, there exists the inclusion*

$$\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} (\text{Gal}(L/K)_n - \text{Gal}(L/K)_{n+1}) \subseteq \left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^n U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} - \left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^{n+1} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}.$$

Now, let M/K be a Galois sub-extension of L/K . Thus, there exists the chain of field extensions

$$K \subseteq M \subseteq L \subseteq K_\varphi,$$

where M is a totally-ramified APF-Galois extension over K by Lemma 3.3. Let

$$\phi_{M/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}$$

be the corresponding map defined for the extension M/K .

Now, let

$$K = E_o \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \subset \cdots \subset L$$

be an ascending chain satisfying $L = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_i$ and $[E_{i+1} : E_i] < \infty$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$K = E_o \cap M \subseteq E_1 \cap M \subseteq \cdots \subseteq E_i \cap M \subseteq \cdots \subset M$$

is an ascending chain of field extensions satisfying $M = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} (E_i \cap M)$ and $[E_{i+1} \cap M : E_i \cap M] < \infty$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we can construct $\mathbb{X}(M/K)$ by the sequence $(E_i \cap M)_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)$ by the sequence $(\widetilde{E_i \cap M})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Furthermore, the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{E_i}^\times & \xleftarrow{\widetilde{N}_{E_{i'}/E_i}} & \widetilde{E_{i'}}^\times \\ \widetilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widetilde{N}_{E_{i'}/E_{i'} \cap M} \\ \widetilde{E_i \cap M}^\times & \xleftarrow{\widetilde{N}_{E_{i'} \cap M/E_i \cap M}} & \widetilde{E_{i'} \cap M}^\times \end{array}$$

for every pair $0 \leq i, i' \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $i \leq i'$, induces a group homomorphism

$$\widetilde{N}_{L/M} = \varprojlim_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M} : \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)^\times \quad (5.15)$$

defined by

$$\widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left((\alpha_{\widetilde{E_i}})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \right) = \left(\widetilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M} (\alpha_{\widetilde{E_i}}) \right)_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad (5.16)$$

for every $(\alpha_{\widetilde{E_i}})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times$.

Remark 5.9. The group homomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)^\times$$

defined by eq.s (5.15) and (5.16) does *not* depend on the choice of an ascending chain

$$K = E_o \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \subset \cdots \subset L$$

satisfying $L = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_i$ and $[E_{i+1} : E_i] < \infty$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The basic properties of this group homomorphism are the following.

- (i) If $U = (u_{\tilde{E}_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U) \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}$.

Proof. In fact, following the definition of the valuation $\nu_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)$ and the definition of the valuation $\nu_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U) \right) &= \nu_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)} \left(\left(\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_i}) \right)_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \right) \\ &= \nu_{\tilde{K}}(u_{\tilde{K}}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\nu_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}(U) = \nu_{\tilde{K}}(u_{\tilde{K}}) = 0,$$

since $U \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$. □

- (ii) If $U = (u_{\tilde{E}_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U) \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}^\diamond$.

Proof. The assertion follows by observing that $\text{Pr}_{\tilde{K}}(U) = u_{\tilde{K}}$ and $\text{Pr}_{\tilde{K}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U) \right) = \tilde{N}_{E_o/E_o \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_o}) = u_{\tilde{K}} \in U_K$. □

- (iii) If $U = (u_{E_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U) \in U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}$.

Proof. The assertion follows by the definition eq. (5.16) of the homomorphism eq. (5.15) combined with the fact that $\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{E_i}) = N_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{E_i})$ for every $u_{E_i} \in U_{E_i}$ and for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Thus, the group homomorphism eq. (5.15) defined by eq. (5.16) induces a group homomorphism, which will be called the *Coleman norm map from L to M*,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} : U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)} \quad (5.17)$$

and defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}}(\overline{U}) = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U) \cdot U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}, \quad (5.18)$$

for every $U \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$, where $\overline{U}$ denotes, as before, the coset $U \cdot U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ in $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$.

The following theorem is stated, in the works of Fesenko [1, 2, 3], without a proof. Thus, for the sake of completeness, we shall supply a proof of this theorem as well.

Theorem 5.10 (Fesenko). *For the Galois sub-extension M/K of L/K , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(L/K) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \\ \text{res}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} \\ \text{Gal}(M/K) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{M/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}, \end{array} \quad (5.19)$$

where the right-vertical arrow

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} : U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}$$

is the Coleman norm map from L to M defined by eq.s (5.17) and (5.18), is commutative.

Proof. For each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, we have to show that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} \left(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma) \right) = \phi_{M/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma|_M).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove the congruence

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U_\sigma) \equiv U_{\sigma|_M} \pmod{U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}},$$

or equivalently, it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U_\sigma)}{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U_\sigma)^\varphi} = \frac{\Pi_{\varphi;M/K}^{\sigma|_M}}{\Pi_{\varphi;M/K}}.$$

Now, without loss of generality, in view of Remark 5.9, the ascending chain of extensions

$$K = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \subset \cdots \subset L$$

can be chosen as the *basic sequence* introduced in the beginning of this section. Thus, each extension E_i/K is finite and Galois for $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, let $U_\sigma = (u_{\tilde{E}_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$. Then, for each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_i})}{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_i})^\varphi} = \frac{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_i})}{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_i}^\varphi)} = \tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M} \left(\frac{u_{\tilde{E}_i}}{u_{\tilde{E}_i}^\varphi} \right).$$

Now, the equality $\frac{u_{\tilde{E}_i}}{u_{\tilde{E}_i}^\varphi} = \frac{\pi_{E_i}^\sigma}{\pi_{E_i}}$, which follows from the equation $\frac{U_\sigma}{U_\sigma^\varphi} = \frac{\Pi_{\varphi;L/K}^\sigma}{\Pi_{\varphi;L/K}}$, yields

$$\frac{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_i})}{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(u_{\tilde{E}_i})^\varphi} = \tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M} \left(\frac{\pi_{E_i}^\sigma}{\pi_{E_i}} \right).$$

Thus, by the theorem of Koch and de Shalit, it follows that,

$$\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M} \left(\frac{\pi_{E_i}^\sigma}{\pi_{E_i}} \right) = \frac{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(\pi_{E_i})^\sigma}{\tilde{N}_{E_i/E_i \cap M}(\pi_{E_i})} = \frac{\pi_{E_i \cap M}^{\sigma|_M}}{\pi_{E_i \cap M}},$$

which proves that

$$\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U_\sigma)}{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U_\sigma)^\varphi} = \frac{\Pi_{\varphi;M/K}^{\sigma|_M}}{\Pi_{\varphi;M/K}}.$$

Now the proof is complete. $\square$

Now, let F/K be a finite sub-extension of L/K . Then, as F is *compatible* with (K, φ) , in the sense of [13] pp. 89, we may fix the Lubin-Tate splitting over F to be $\varphi_F = \varphi_K = \varphi$. Thus, there exists the chain of field extensions

$$K \subseteq F \subseteq L \subseteq K_\varphi \subseteq F_\varphi,$$

where L is a totally-ramified *APF*-Galois extension over F by Lemma 3.3. So there exists the mapping

$$\phi_{L/F}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/F) \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}$$

corresponding to the extension L/F .

For the *APF*-extension L/F , fix an ascending chain

$$F = F_o \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_i \subset \cdots \subset L$$

satisfying $L = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$ and $[F_{i+1} : F_i] < \infty$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Introduce the homomorphism

$$\Lambda_{F/K} : \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)^\times \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times \quad (5.20)$$

by

$$\Lambda_{F/K} : (\alpha_F \xleftarrow{\widetilde{N}_{F_1/F}} \alpha_{F_1} \xleftarrow{\widetilde{N}_{F_2/F_1}} \cdots) \mapsto (\widetilde{N}_{F/K}(\alpha_F) \xleftarrow{\widetilde{N}_{F/K}} \alpha_F \xleftarrow{\widetilde{N}_{F_1/F}} \alpha_{F_1} \xleftarrow{\widetilde{N}_{F_2/F_1}} \cdots), \quad (5.21)$$

for each $(\alpha_{F_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)^\times$.

Remark 5.11. It is clear that, the homomorphism

$$\Lambda_{F/K} : \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)^\times \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times$$

defined by eq.s (5.20) and (5.21) does *not* depend on the choice of ascending chain of fields

$$F = F_o \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_i \subset \cdots \subset L$$

satisfying $L = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$ and $[F_{i+1} : F_i] < \infty$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The basic properties of this group homomorphism are the following

(i) The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{F/K}} & \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K) \\ \text{inc.} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{inc.} \\ \mathbb{X}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{F/K}} & \mathbb{X}(L/K) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

(ii) If $U = (u_{\widetilde{F}_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)}$, then $\Lambda_{F/K}(U) \in U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$.

(iii) If $U = (u_{\widetilde{F}_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)}^\diamond$, then $\Lambda_{F/K}(U) \in U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$.

(iv) If $U = (u_{F_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}$, then $\Lambda_{F/K}(U) \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$.

Thus, the group homomorphism eq. (5.20) defined by eq. (5.21) induces a group homomorphism

$$\lambda_{F/K} : U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)} \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \quad (5.22)$$

defined by

$$\lambda_{F/K} : \overline{U} \mapsto \Lambda_{F/K}(U).U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}, \quad (5.23)$$

for every $U \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond$, where $\overline{U}$ denotes, as before, the coset $U.U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}$ in $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}$.

The following theorem is stated, in the works of Fesenko [1, 2, 3], without a proof. Thus, for the sake of completeness, we shall supply a proof of this theorem as well.

Theorem 5.12 (Fesenko). *For the finite sub-extension F/K of L/K , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/F}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)} \\ \text{inc.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{F/K} \\ \text{Gal}(L/K) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}, \end{array} \quad (5.24)$$

where the right-vertical arrow

$$\lambda_{F/K} : U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)} \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$$

is defined by eq.s (5.22) and (5.23), is commutative.

Proof. For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/F)$, $\phi_{L/F}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma) = U_\sigma.U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}$, where $U_\sigma \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond$ satisfies the equality

$$\frac{U_\sigma}{U_\sigma^\varphi} = \frac{\Pi_{\varphi;L/F}^\sigma}{\Pi_{\varphi;L/F}}. \quad (5.25)$$

Here, $\Pi_{\varphi;L/F}$ is the norm compatible sequence of primes $(\pi_{F_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Now,

$$\Lambda_{F/K} \left(\frac{U_\sigma}{U_\sigma^\varphi} \right) = \frac{\Lambda_{F/K}(U_\sigma)}{\Lambda_{F/K}(U_\sigma^\varphi)} = \frac{\Lambda_{F/K}(U_\sigma)}{\Lambda_{F/K}(U_\sigma)^\varphi}.$$

On the other hand, $\Lambda_{F/K}(\Pi_{\varphi;L/F}) = \Pi_{\varphi;L/K}$ and $\Lambda_{F/K}(\Pi_{\varphi;L/F}^\sigma) = \Pi_{\varphi;L/K}^\sigma$. Thus, eq. (5.25) yields

$$\frac{\Lambda_{F/K}(U_\sigma)}{\Lambda_{F/K}(U_\sigma)^\varphi} = \frac{\Pi_{\varphi;L/K}^\sigma}{\Pi_{\varphi;L/K}},$$

which shows that

$$\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma) = \Lambda_{F/K}(U_\sigma).U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} = \lambda_{F/K}(\phi_{L/F}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma)),$$

completing the proof of the commutativity of the square. $\square$

If L/K is furthermore a finite extension, then the composition

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_{\bar{K}}} U_K / N_{L/K} U_L, \\ \text{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad \iota_{L/K} \quad \quad \quad} U_K / N_{L/K} U_L \end{array}$$

is the Iwasawa-Neukirch map of the extension L/K . Thus, the mapping $\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}$ defined for L/K is the generalization of the Iwasawa-Neukirch map $\iota_{L/K} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_K / N_{L/K}(U_L)$ for the totally-ramified APF-Galois sub-extensions L/K of K_φ/K .

Likewise, we can extend the definition of the Hazewinkel map $h_{L/K} : U_K / N_{L/K} U_L \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab}$ initially defined for totally-ramified finite Galois extensions L/K to totally-ramified APF-Galois sub-extensions of K_φ/K by generalizing Serre short exact sequence introduced in eq.s (1.1) and (1.2). In order to do so, we first have to assume that the local field K satisfies the condition

$$\mu_p(K^{sep}) = \{\alpha \in K^{sep} : \alpha^p = 1\} \subset K, \quad (5.26)$$

where $p = \text{char}(\kappa_K)$.

Remark 5.13. If K is a local field of characteristic $p = \text{char}(\kappa_K)$, the assumption (5.26) on K is automatically satisfied. For details on the assumption (5.26) on K , we refer the reader to [1, 2, 3].

In what follows, as before, let L/K be a totally-ramified APF-Galois extension satisfying eq. (5.1). Under this assumption, there exists a topological $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -submodule $Y_{L/K}$ of $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$, such that

- (i) $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \subseteq Y_{L/K}$;
- (ii) the composition

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \xrightarrow[\text{topol. map}]{\substack{c_{L/K} \\ \text{canonical}}} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K}$$

is a bijection with the extended Hazewinkel map $H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$ as the inverse.

Now, we shall briefly review the constructions of the topological group $Y_{L/K}$ and the extended Hazewinkel map $H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$. For details, we refer the reader to [1, 2, 3], which we follow closely.

Fix a *basic* ascending chain of sub-extensions in L/K

$$K = K_o \subset K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_i \subset \cdots \subset L. \quad (5.27)$$

once and for all. Now, introduce the following notation. For each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- (i) let σ_i denote an element of $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$ satisfying $\langle \sigma_i |_{K_i} \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})$;
- (ii) let $\tilde{K}_i = K_i \tilde{K}$.

By abelian local class field theory, for each $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists an injective homomorphism

$$\Xi_{K_{k+1}/K_k} : \text{Gal}(K_{k+1}/K_k) \rightarrow U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \quad (5.28)$$

defined by

$$\Xi_{K_{k+1}/K_k} : \tau \mapsto \pi_{K_{k+1}}^{\tau-1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}, \quad (5.29)$$

for every $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K_{k+1}/K_k)$. Let $\text{im}(\Xi_{K_{k+1}/K_k}) = T_k^{(L/K)} = T_k$ be the isomorphic copy of $\text{Gal}(K_{k+1}/K_k)$ in $U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}$.

Theorem 5.14 (Fesenko). *Fix $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let*

$$T_k^{(L/K)'} = T_k' = T_k \cap \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}.$$

Then the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow T_k' \longrightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_{K_{k+1}/K_k}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i-1} \longrightarrow 1$$

$\xleftarrow{h_k^{(L/K)} = h_k}$

splits by a homomorphism

$$h_k : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}.$$

This homomorphism is not unique in general.

For each $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider any map

$$g_k^{(L/K)} = g_k : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1}$$

which makes the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1} & \\ \nearrow g_k & \downarrow (\text{mod } U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}) & \\ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i-1} & \xrightarrow{h_k} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} & \end{array}$$

commutative. Such a map clearly exists. Now, choose, for every $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, a mapping

$$f_i^{(L/K)} = f_i : U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K_i)} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{K_i/K}} U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$$

which satisfies for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>i}$ the equality

$$\mathrm{Pr}_{\tilde{K}_j} \circ f_i = (g_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ g_i) \mid_{U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}},$$

where $\mathrm{Pr}_{\tilde{K}_j} : U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{K}_j}$ denotes the projection on the $\tilde{K}_j$ -coordinate.

Lemma 5.15 (Fesenko). *(i) Let $z^{(i)} \in \mathrm{im}(f_i) = Z_i^{(L/K)}$ for each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the infinite product $\prod_i z^{(i)}$ converges to an element z in $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$.*

(ii) Let

$$Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{(i)} : z^{(i)} \in \mathrm{im}(f_i) \right\}.$$

Then, $Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ is a topological subgroup of $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$.

Remark 5.16. In fact, $Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ is a topological subgroup of $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1$. Let $z \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ and choose $z^{(i)} \in \mathrm{im}(f_i) \subset U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1$ so that $z = \prod_i z^{(i)}$. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{Pr}_{\tilde{K}}(z^{(i)}) = 1_K$. In order to do so, let $\alpha^{(i)} \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}$ such that $f_i(\alpha^{(i)}) = z^{(i)}$. Thus, $\mathrm{Pr}_{\tilde{K}_i}(z^{(i)}) = \alpha^{(i)}$. Now, by Hilbert 90, it follows that, $\tilde{N}_{K_i/K}(\alpha^{(i)}) = (\tilde{N}_{K_{i-1}/K} \circ \tilde{N}_{K_i/K_{i-1}})(\alpha^{(i)}) = 1_K$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 5.17. *For $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\sigma = \sigma_i \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$ such that $\sigma \mid_{K_i} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})$. Let $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ viewed as an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$. Then*

$$\left(U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma-1} \right)^\tau = U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma-1}.$$

Proof. Let τ be any element of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Now consider τ as an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$. Then clearly, the conjugate $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$ satisfies $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau \mid_{K_i} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})$ as $(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau \mid_{K_i})^n = \mathrm{id}_{K_i}$ yields $(\sigma \mid_{K_i})^n = \mathrm{id}_{K_i}$. Let $0 < d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau \mid_{K_i} = (\sigma \mid_{K_i})^d = (\sigma^d) \mid_{K_i}$. Thus $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau\sigma^{-d} \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K}_i)$ as $\tilde{K}_i = \tilde{K}K_i$. It then follows that

$$U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau-1} = U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma^d-1}.$$

As $U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau-1} = U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}(\sigma-1)\tau} = \left(U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma-1} \right)^\tau$, the equality

$$\left(U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma-1} \right)^\tau = U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma^d-1}$$

follows as well. Now, the inclusion

$$U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma^d-1} \subseteq U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma-1}$$

is clear, because, for $u \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}$,

$$\frac{u^{\sigma^d}}{u} = \frac{\left(u^{\sigma^{d-1}} \right)^\sigma}{u^{\sigma^{d-1}}} \cdots \frac{(u^\sigma)^\sigma}{u^\sigma} \frac{u^\sigma}{u}.$$

Thus, for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, the inclusion $\left(U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma^{-1}}\right)^\tau \subseteq U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma^{-1}}$ follows. Hence, for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$,

$$\left(U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma^{-1}}\right)^\tau = U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma^{-1}}$$

completing the proof. $\square$

Now, let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Consider the element $\tau^{-1}\sigma_i\tau$ of $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$ for each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then clearly, $\langle \tau^{-1}\sigma_i\tau |_{K_i} \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})$. By abelian local class field theory and by Lemma 5.17, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{K_i/K_{i-1}}} & U_{\tilde{K}_i}/U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i^{-1}} \\ \tau\text{-conjugation} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{K_i/K_{i-1}}} & U_{\tilde{K}_i}/U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i^{-1}} \end{array}$$

is commutative, where the τ -conjugation map $\text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})$ is defined by $\gamma \mapsto \tau^{-1}\gamma\tau$ for every $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})$. Thus, it follows that

$$\text{im}(\Xi_{K_i/K_{i-1}})^\tau = \text{im}(\Xi_{K_i/K_{i-1}}).$$

Now, following Theorem 5.14, for

$$T_i^\tau = T_i = \text{im}(\Xi_{K_{i+1}/K_i})$$

and

$$(T_i')^\tau = T_i^\tau \cap \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau^{-1}} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}\tau^{-1}},$$

the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow (T_i')^\tau \longrightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau^{-1}} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}\tau^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{N}_{K_{i+1}/K_i}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i} U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau^{-1}} \longrightarrow 1$$

$(h_i^{(L/K)})^\tau = h_i^\tau$

splits by a homomorphism

$$h_i^\tau : \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i} U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau^{-1}} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau^{-1}} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}\tau^{-1}},$$

and which furthermore makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & T_i' & \longrightarrow & \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_j^{-1}} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{N}_{K_{i+1}/K_i}} & \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i} U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_j^{-1}} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & (T_i')^\tau & \longrightarrow & \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau^{-1}} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}\tau^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{N}_{K_{i+1}/K_i}} & \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i} U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau^{-1}} \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

$(h_i^{(L/K)})^\tau = h_i^\tau$

commutative. Thus, it follows that, there exists a map

$$g_i^\tau : \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i} U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau-1} \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau-1}$$

which makes the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau-1} \xleftarrow{\tau} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_j-1} \\
& \nearrow g_i^\tau & \downarrow (\text{mod } U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}-1}) \\
\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i} U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau-1} & \xrightarrow{h_i^\tau} & \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\tau^{-1}\sigma_j\tau-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}-1} \xleftarrow{\tau} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_j-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}-1} \\
& \searrow \tau & \uparrow g_i \\
& & \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i} U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_j-1} \xrightarrow{h_i} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_j-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}-1}
\end{array}$$

commutative. Now, for every $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, choose a mapping

$$f_i^\tau : U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$$

which satisfies for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>i}$ the equality

$$\text{Pr}_{\tilde{K}_j} \circ f_i^\tau = (g_{j-1}^\tau \circ \cdots \circ g_i^\tau) |_{U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}}.$$

Thus, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>i}$, and for $\alpha \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}$,

$$\text{Pr}_{\tilde{K}_j} \circ f_i^\tau(\alpha) = \left(\text{Pr}_{\tilde{K}_j} \circ f_i(\alpha^{\tau^{-1}}) \right)^\tau,$$

which yields the following relationship

$$f_i^\tau(\alpha) = f_i \left(\alpha^{\tau^{-1}} \right)^\tau, \quad (5.30)$$

for every $\alpha \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}$. After all these observations, an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.17 is the following corollary.

Corollary 5.18. For $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$,

$$Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})^\tau = Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i^\tau\}).$$

Proof. Let $z \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ and choose $z^{(i)} \in \text{im}(f_i) \subset U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ such that $z = \prod_i z^{(i)}$. By the continuity of the action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ on $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$, to prove that $z^\tau \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i^\tau\})$, it suffices to show that $(z^{(i)})^\tau \in \text{im}(f_i^\tau)$. Now, let $\alpha^{(i)} \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}$ such that $f_i(\alpha^{(i)}) = z^{(i)}$. Then, $(z^{(i)})^\tau = f_i(\alpha^{(i)})^\tau = f_i \left(((\alpha^{(i)})^\tau)^{\tau^{-1}} \right)^\tau = f_i^\tau((\alpha^{(i)})^\tau)$ by eq. (5.30), where by the previous Lemma 5.17, $(\alpha^{(i)})^\tau \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}$. Thus, $(z^{(i)})^\tau \in \text{im}(f_i^\tau)$. $\square$

Remark 5.19. By pp. 71 of [2], for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, $Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ and $Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i^\tau\})$ are algebraically and topologically isomorphic. Thus, Corollary 5.18 indeed defines a continuous action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ on $Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$.

Now, define the topological subgroup $Y_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = Y_{L/K}$ of $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$ to be

$$Y_{L/K} = \left\{ y \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} : y^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) \right\}. \quad (5.31)$$

Lemma 5.20. $Y_{L/K}$ is a topological $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -submodule of $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$.

Proof. Let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and $y \in Y_{L/K}$. Note that, $(y^\tau)^\varphi = (y^\varphi)^\tau$, as the action of τ on $y = (u_{\tilde{K}_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by the action of τ on the “ K_i -part” of $u_{\tilde{K}_i}$ for each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the action of φ on $y = (u_{\tilde{K}_i})_{0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by the action of φ on the “ $\tilde{K}$ -part” of $u_{\tilde{K}_i}$ for each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\frac{y^\tau}{(y^\tau)^\varphi} = \frac{y^\tau}{(y^\varphi)^\tau} = \left(\frac{y}{y^\varphi} \right)^\tau \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})^\tau$. Now, the proof follows from Corollary 5.18 and by Remark 5.19. $\square$

Lemma 5.21 (Fesenko). *The mapping*

$$\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1 / Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$$

defined by

$$\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \sigma \mapsto \Pi_{\varphi; L/K}^{\sigma-1} \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}),$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, is a group isomorphism, where the group operation $$ on $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1 / Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ is defined by*

$$\overline{U} * \overline{V} = \overline{U} \cdot \overline{V}^{(\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})^{-1}(\overline{U})}$$

for every $\overline{U} = U \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$, $\overline{V} = V \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1 / Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ with $U, V \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1$.

Now, introduce the *fundamental exact sequence* as

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1 / Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_{\tilde{K}}} U_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow 1$$

as a generalization of Serre short exact sequence (cf. eq.s (1.1) and (1.2)). Thus, for any $U \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$, as $U^{1-\varphi} \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^1$, there exists a unique $\sigma_U \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ satisfying

$$U^{1-\varphi} \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = \ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma_U), \quad (5.32)$$

by Lemma 5.21. Now, define the arrow

$$H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \quad (5.33)$$

by

$$H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : U \cdot Y_{L/K} \mapsto \sigma_U, \quad (5.34)$$

for every $U \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$. This arrow is clearly a well-defined mapping. In fact, suppose that $U, V \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$ satisfy $U \equiv V \pmod{Y_{L/K}}$. Then $\sigma_U = \sigma_V$. In

fact, let $Y \in Y_{L/K}$ such that $U = V.Y$. The definition of $Y_{L/K}$ given in eq. (5.31) forces that $Y^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$. Thus, the equalities $U^{1-\varphi} = (V.Y)^{1-\varphi} = V^{1-\varphi}Y^{1-\varphi}$ yield $U^{1-\varphi}Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = V^{1-\varphi}Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$, which shows that $\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma_U) = \ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma_V)$ by eq. (5.32). Now, by Lemma 5.21, it follows that $\sigma_U = \sigma_V$.

Lemma 5.22. *Suppose that the local field K satisfies the condition given in eq. (5.26). The arrow*

$$H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

defined for the extension L/K is a bijection.

Proof. Choose $U, V \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$ satisfying $H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(U.Y_{L/K}) = H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(V.Y_{L/K})$. Thus, $\sigma_U = \sigma_V$ by the definition eq. (5.34) of the arrow given in eq. (5.33). Now, eq. (5.32) yields

$$U^{1-\varphi}.Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = V^{1-\varphi}.Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}),$$

which proves that $(V^{-1}U)^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$. The equality $U.Y_{L/K} = V.Y_{L/K}$ follows immediately by eq. (5.31). Now, choose any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$. By Theorem 5.5, there exists $U \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$ which is unique modulo $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ (so unique modulo $Y_{L/K}$ as $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \subseteq Y_{L/K}$), such that

$$\Pi_{\varphi; L/K}^{\sigma-1}.Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = U^{1-\varphi}.Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}).$$

Thus, by Theorem 5.21 and by eq. (5.32),

$$\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma) = \ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma_U),$$

which forces the equality $\sigma = \sigma_U$ for $U \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$. □

Now, consider the composition of the arrows

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \xrightarrow{c_{L/K}} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K}. \quad (5.35)$$

Lemma 5.23. *There are the following equalities.*

(i) $U_{\sigma_U}.Y_{L/K} = U.Y_{L/K}$ for every $U \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$;

(ii) $\sigma_{U_\sigma} = \sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$.

Proof. To prove (i), let $U \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$. Then, by eq. (5.32), there exists a unique $\sigma_U \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ satisfying

$$U^{1-\varphi}.Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = \ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma_U) = \Pi_{\varphi; L/K}^{\sigma_U-1}.Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}). \quad (5.36)$$

The equality on the right-hand-side follows from the definition of the mapping $\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^1 / Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\})$ given in Lemma 5.21. Now, by

Lemma 5.5, for this $\sigma_U \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, there exists $U_{\sigma_U} \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$, which is unique modulo $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$, satisfying

$$U_{\sigma_U}^{1-\varphi} = \Pi_{\varphi; L/K}^{\sigma_U-1}.$$

Thus,

$$U_{\sigma_U}^{1-\varphi} \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = U^{1-\varphi} \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}),$$

by eq. (5.36), which proves that

$$U_{\sigma_U} \cdot Y_{L/K} = U \cdot Y_{L/K}, \quad (5.37)$$

by the definition of $Y_{L/K}$ given in eq. (5.31). Moreover, as $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} \subseteq Y_{L/K}$, this equality (5.37) does not depend on the choice of U_{σ_U} modulo $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$. Now, for (ii), let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$. By Lemma 5.5, there exists $U_\sigma \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$, which is unique modulo $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$, such that

$$U_\sigma^{1-\varphi} = \Pi_{\varphi; L/K}^{\sigma-1}. \quad (5.38)$$

For any such $U_\sigma \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$, there exists a unique $\sigma_{U_\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ satisfying

$$U_\sigma^{1-\varphi} \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = \ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma_{U_\sigma})$$

by eq. (5.32). Thus, by eq. (5.38) and Lemma 5.21, it follows that

$$\ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma_{U_\sigma}) = \Pi_{\varphi; L/K}^{\sigma-1} \cdot Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i\}) = \ell_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma),$$

which proves that $\sigma_{U_\sigma} = \sigma$. $\square$

Lemma 5.23 immediately yields

$$H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} \circ \Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} = \text{id}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)};$$

and

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} \circ H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} = \text{id}_{U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K}}.$$

The following theorem follows from Lemma 5.22, Lemma 5.23, Theorem 5.6 and from the fact that $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$ is a topological $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -submodule of $Y_{L/K}$.

Theorem 5.24 (Fesenko). *Suppose that the local field K satisfies the condition given in eq. (5.26). The mapping*

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K}$$

defined for the extension L/K is a bijection with the inverse

$$H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K).$$

For every $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$,

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma\tau) = \Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma)\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\tau)^\sigma \quad (5.39)$$

co-cycle condition is satisfied.

By Corollary 5.7, Theorem 5.24 has the following consequence.

Corollary 5.25. *Define a law of composition $*$ on $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond/Y_{L/K}$ by*

$$\overline{U} * \overline{V} = \overline{U} \cdot \overline{V}^{(\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})^{-1}(\overline{U})} \quad (5.40)$$

for every $\overline{U} = U.Y_{L/K}, \overline{V} = V.Y_{L/K} \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond/Y_{L/K}$ with $U, V \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$. Then $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond/Y_{L/K}$ is a topological group under $*$, and the map $\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}$ induces an isomorphism of topological groups

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond/Y_{L/K}, \quad (5.41)$$

where the topological group structure on $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond/Y_{L/K}$ is defined with respect to the binary operation $*$ defined by eq. (5.40).

Definition 5.26. Let K be a local field satisfying the condition given in eq. (5.26). Let L/K be a totally-ramified APF-Galois extension satisfying eq. (5.1). The mapping

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond/Y_{L/K},$$

defined in Theorem 5.24, is called the *Fesenko reciprocity map for the extension L/K* .

For each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{R}$, we have previously introduced the groups $\left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond\right)^i$. For $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$Q_{L/K}^n = c_{L/K} \left(\left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^n U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} \cap \text{im}(\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}) \right), \quad (5.42)$$

which is a subgroup of $\left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^n Y_{L/K} / Y_{L/K}$. Now, Fesenko ramification theorem, stated in Theorem 5.8, can be reformulated for the reciprocity map $\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}$ corresponding to the extension L/K as follows.

Theorem 5.27 (Ramification theorem). *Suppose that the local field K satisfies the condition given in eq. (5.26). For $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\text{Gal}(L/K)_n$ denote the n^{th} higher ramification subgroup of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ corresponding to the APF-Galois sub-extension L/K of K_φ/K in the lower numbering. Then, there exists the inclusion*

$$\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} (\text{Gal}(L/K)_n - \text{Gal}(L/K)_{n+1}) \subseteq \left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^n Y_{L/K} / Y_{L/K} - Q_{L/K}^{n+1}.$$

Proof. Let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)_n$. The first half of the proof of Proposition 1 in [2] shows that $\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\tau) \in \left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^n Y_{L/K} / Y_{L/K}$. Now, let $\overline{U} = U.Y_{L/K} \in Q_{L/K}^{n+1}$, where $U \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond$. Then, by the definition of $Q_{L/K}^{n+1}$, there exists $V \in \left(U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond \right)^{n+1} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ such that $c_{L/K}(\overline{V}) = \overline{U}$ and $\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\tau) = \overline{V}$, where $\overline{V} = V.U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}$. So, $\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}(\tau) = \overline{U}$. The second half of the proof of Proposition 1 in [2] now proves that $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)_{n+1}$. $\square$

Now, let M/K be a Galois sub-extension of L/K . Thus, there exists the chain of field extensions

$$K \subseteq M \subseteq L \subseteq K_\varphi,$$

where M is a totally-ramified *APF*-Galois extension over the local field K satisfying the condition given in eq. (5.26) by Lemma 3.3.

Now, the basic ascending chain of sub-extensions in L/K fixed in eq. (5.27) restricted to M

$$K = K_o \cap M \subseteq K_1 \cap M \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_i \cap M \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L \cap M = M \quad (5.43)$$

is *almost* a basic ascending chain of sub-extensions in M/K (almost in the sense that, there may exist elements $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $K_i \cap M = K_{i+1} \cap M$). In fact, for each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the extension $K_i \cap M/K$ is clearly Galois. For each $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the surjective homomorphism

$$r_{K_{i+1} \cap M} : \text{Gal}(K_{i+1}/K_i) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K_{i+1} \cap M/K_i \cap M)$$

defined by the restriction to $K_{i+1} \cap M$ as

$$\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_{K_{i+1} \cap M}$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{i+1}/K_i)$. As $\text{Gal}(K_{i+1}/K_i)$ is cyclic of prime order $p = \text{char}(\kappa_K)$ (resp. of order relatively prime to p) in case $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. in case $i = 0$), it follows that $\text{Gal}(K_{i+1} \cap M/K_i \cap M)$ is cyclic of order p or 1 (resp. of order relatively prime to p) in case $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. in case $i = 0$). Now fix this almost basic ascending chain of sub-extensions in M/K introduced in eq. (5.43). Observe that, for each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}} \in \text{Gal}(\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{K})$ satisfies

$$\langle (\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}})|_{K_i \cap M} = \sigma_i|_{K_i \cap M} \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_i \cap M/K_{i-1} \cap M)$$

as the restriction map $r_{K_i \cap M} : \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K_i \cap M/K_{i-1} \cap M)$ is a surjective homomorphism and $\langle \sigma_i|_{K_i} \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})$. As usual, we set $\widetilde{K_i \cap M} = (K_i \cap M)\widetilde{K}$. Note that, for each $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the norm map

$$\widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M} : U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}} \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}$$

induces a homomorphism

$$\widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^* : U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} / U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1},$$

defined by

$$\widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^* : u \cdot U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \mapsto \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u) \cdot U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1},$$

for every $u \in U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}}$, as $\widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M} \left(U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \right) \subseteq U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1}$. Thus, the following square, where the upper and lower horizontal arrows are defined by eq.s (5.28) and (5.29),

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(K_{k+1}/K_k) & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{K_{k+1}/K_k}} & U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}} / U_{\widetilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \\ \downarrow r_{K_{k+1} \cap M} & & \downarrow \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^* \\ \text{Gal}(K_{k+1} \cap M/K_k \cap M) & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{K_{k+1} \cap M/K_k \cap M}} & U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^M / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1}, \end{array}$$

is commutative, as $\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(\pi_{K_{k+1}}) = \pi_{K_{k+1} \cap M}$ by the *norm coherence* of the Lubin-Tate labelling $(\pi_{K'})_{\underbrace{K \subseteq K' \subset K_\varphi}_{[K':K] < \infty}}$. Hence,

$$\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^* \left(T_k^{(L/K)} \right) = T_k^{(M/K)}.$$

Now, we shall define an arrow

$$h_k^{(M/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \quad (5.44)$$

which splits the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow T_k^{(M/K)'} \longrightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \xrightarrow{h_k^{(M/K)}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \longrightarrow 1 \quad (5.45)$$

in such a way that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xleftarrow{h_k^{(L/K)}} & \\ \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i-1} \\ \downarrow \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^* & & \downarrow \tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M} \\ \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k \cap M}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \\ & \xleftarrow{h_k^{(M/K)}} & \end{array} \quad (5.46)$$

is a commutative square. In order to do so, however, closely following Fesenko ([1, 2, 3]), let us review the construction of a splitting

$$h_k^{(L/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}$$

of the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow T_k^{(L/K)'} \longrightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \xrightarrow{h_k^{(L/K)}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i-1} \longrightarrow 1. \quad (5.47)$$

The product module $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i-1}$ is a closed $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -submodule of $U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^1$. Let $\{\lambda_j\}$ be a system of topological multiplicative generators of the topological $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i-1}$ satisfying the following property. If the torsion $\left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right)_{\text{tor}}$

of the module $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i - 1}$ is non-trivial, there exists $\lambda_* \in \{\lambda_j\}$ of order p^m in the torsion of the module while the remaining λ_j ($j \neq *$) are topologically independent over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Now, define a map

$$h_k^{(L/K)} : \{\lambda_j\} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i - 1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1}$$

on the topological generators $\{\lambda_j\}$ by

$$h_k^{(L/K)} : \lambda_j \mapsto u_j \cdot U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1},$$

where $u_j \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i - 1}$ satisfies $\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k}(u_j) = \lambda_j$. It then follows by step 5 of the proof of the Theorem in Section 3 of [3] that, $h_k^{(L/K)}(\lambda_*)^{p^m} \in U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1}$. Therefore, the arrow $h_k^{(L/K)}$ extends uniquely to a homomorphism

$$h_k^{(L/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i - 1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i - 1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1},$$

which is a splitting of the short exact sequence given by eq. (5.47). Now, define

$$h_k^{(M/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1}$$

as follows. Note that,

$$\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i - 1} \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1}$$

is a surjective homomorphism, as $\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M} : U_{\tilde{K}_k} \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}$ is a surjective homomorphism. Thus, the collection $\{\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j)\}$ is a system of topological multiplicative generators of the topological $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1}$. Moreover, note that $\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_*)^{p^m} = 1$. Thus, $\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_*)$ is in the torsion-part $\left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1} \right)_{\text{tor}}$. For the remaining λ_j ($j \neq *$), the collection $\{\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j)\}_{j \neq *}$ is topologically independent over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Now, following Fesenko's construction of $h_k^{(L/K)}$, define a map

$$h_k^{(M/K)} : \{\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j)\} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1}$$

on the topological generators $\{\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j)\}$ by

$$h_k^{(M/K)} : \tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j) \mapsto \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u_j) \cdot U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\widetilde{M}} - 1}, \quad (5.48)$$

where $u_j \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1}$ satisfies $\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k}(u_j) = \lambda_j$, and thereby $\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u_j)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1} \cap M / K_k \cap M} \left(\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u_j) \right) &= \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k \cap M}(u_j) \\ &= \tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M} \left(\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k}(u_j) \right) \\ &= \tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the arrow $h_k^{(M/K)}$ extends uniquely to a homomorphism

$$h_k^{(M/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k \cap M}^{\sigma_i|_{\tilde{M}}-1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_i|_{\tilde{M}}-1} \right) / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\tilde{M}}-1},$$

which is a splitting of the short exact sequence given by eq. (5.45). In fact, it suffices to show that, for $u_j \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1}$ satisfying $\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k}(u_j) = \lambda_j$,

$$h_k^{(M/K)} \circ \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1} \cap M / K_k \cap M} : \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u_j) U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\tilde{M}}-1} \mapsto \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u_j) U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\tilde{M}}-1},$$

which follows from the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} h_k^{(M/K)} \left(\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1} \cap M / K_k \cap M}(\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u_j)) \right) &= h_k^{(M/K)}(\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k \cap M}(u_j)) \\ &= h_k^{(M/K)}(\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_k}(u_j))) \\ &= h_k^{(M/K)}(\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j)) \end{aligned}$$

and by the definition of the arrow $h_k^{(M/K)}$ given by eq. (5.48). Moreover, the diagram (5.46) commutes, which follows from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} h_k^{(M/K)}(\tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(\lambda_j)) &= \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}(u_j) U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\tilde{M}}-1} \\ &= \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^*(u_j \cdot U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}) \\ &= \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^*(h_k^{(L/K)}(\lambda_j)). \end{aligned}$$

For each $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider any map

$$g_k^{(M/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k \cap M}^{\sigma_i|_{\tilde{M}}-1} \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_i|_{\tilde{M}}-1} \quad (5.49)$$

which commutes the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i-1} & \xrightarrow{g_k^{(L/K)}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_i-1} \\ \tilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M} \\ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k \cap M}^{\sigma_i|_{\tilde{M}}-1} & \xrightarrow{g_k^{(M/K)}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_i|_{\tilde{M}}-1}. \end{array} \quad (5.50)$$

Note that, such a map satisfies

$$h_k^{(M/K)} = g_k^{(M/K)} \mod U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1} \cap M}^{\sigma_{k+1}|_{\tilde{M}}-1}.$$

In fact, by the commutative diagram (5.46), for any $w \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i | \widetilde{M}^{-1}}$, there exists $v \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i - 1}$ such that $w = \widetilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(v)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} h_k^{(M/K)}(w) &= h_k^{(M/K)}\left(\widetilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(v)\right) \\ &= \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^* \left(h_k^{(L/K)}(v)\right) \\ &= \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M}^* \left(g_k^{(L/K)}(v) \cdot U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1}\right) \\ &= \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M} \left(g_k^{(L/K)}(v)\right) \cdot U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1} | \widetilde{M}^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the commutativity of the diagram (5.50),

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1} \cap M} \left(g_k^{(L/K)}(v)\right) &= g_k^{(M/K)} \left(\widetilde{N}_{K_k/K_k \cap M}(v)\right) \\ &= g_k^{(M/K)}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equality

$$h_k^{(M/K)}(w) = g_k^{(M/K)}(w) \cdot U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1} \cap M}}^{\sigma_{k+1} | \widetilde{M}^{-1}}$$

follows for every $w \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k \cap M}}^{\sigma_i | \widetilde{M}^{-1}}$.

Now, for each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, introduce the map

$$f_i^{(M/K)} : U_{\widetilde{K_i \cap M}}^{\sigma_i | \widetilde{M}^{-1}} \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{X}(M/K)}$$

by

$$f_i^{(M/K)}(w) = \widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(f_i^{(L/K)}(v)\right),$$

where $v \in U_{\widetilde{K_i}}^{\sigma_i - 1}$ is any element satisfying $\widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M}(v) = w \in U_{\widetilde{K_i \cap M}}^{\sigma_i | \widetilde{M}^{-1}}$. Note that, if $v' \in U_{\widetilde{K_i}}^{\sigma_i - 1}$ such that $\widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M}(v') = w$, then $\widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(f_i^{(L/K)}(v)\right) = \widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(f_i^{(L/K)}(v')\right)$. In fact, there exists $u \in \ker \left(\widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M}\right)$ such that $v' = vu$. Thus, we have to verify that $\widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(f_i^{(L/K)}(v)\right) = \widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(f_i^{(L/K)}(vu)\right)$. That is, for each $1 \leq j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have to check that $\widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left(\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K_j}}(f_i^{(L/K)}(v))\right) = \widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left(\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K_j}}(f_i^{(L/K)}(vu))\right)$. Now, for $j > i$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left(\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K_j}}(f_i^{(L/K)}(v))\right) &= \widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left(g_{j-1}^{(L/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/K)}(v)\right) \\ &= g_{j-1}^{(M/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(M/K)} \left(\widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M}(v)\right) \\ &= g_{j-1}^{(M/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(M/K)} \left(\widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M}(vu)\right) \\ &= \widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left(g_{j-1}^{(L/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/K)}(vu)\right) \\ &= \widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left(\text{Pr}_{\widetilde{K_j}}(f_i^{(L/K)}(vu))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the map

$$f_i^{(M/K)} : U_{\widetilde{K_i \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} \rightarrow U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}}$$

is well-defined. Moreover, for $j > i$,

$$\Pr_{\widetilde{K_j \cap M}} \circ f_i^{(M/K)} = \left(g_{j-1}^{(M/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(M/K)} \right) \Big|_{U_{\widetilde{K_i \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1}}.$$

In fact, for $w \in U_{\widetilde{K_i \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1}$, there exists $v \in U_{\widetilde{K_i}}^{\sigma_i-1}$ such that $\widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M}(v) = w$, and $f_i^{(M/K)}(w) = \widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(f_i^{(L/K)}(v) \right)$. That is, the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\widetilde{K_i}}^{\sigma_i-1} & \xrightarrow{f_i^{(L/K)}} & U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}} \\ \widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widetilde{N}_{L/M} \\ U_{\widetilde{K_i \cap M}}^{\sigma_i|_{\widetilde{M}}-1} & \xrightarrow{f_i^{(M/K)}} & U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}} \end{array} \quad (5.51)$$

is commutative. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{\widetilde{K_j \cap M}} \circ f_i^{(M/K)}(w) &= \Pr_{\widetilde{K_j \cap M}} \circ \widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(f_i^{(L/K)}(v) \right) \\ &= \widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left(\Pr_{\widetilde{K_j}} \circ f_i^{(L/K)}(v) \right) \\ &= \widetilde{N}_{K_j/K_j \cap M} \left((g_{j-1}^{(L/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/K)})(v) \right) \\ &= \left(g_{j-1}^{(M/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(M/K)} \right) \left(\widetilde{N}_{K_i/K_i \cap M}(v) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired equality.

Let, for each $0 < i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$Z_i^{(M/K)} = \text{im}(f_i^{(M/K)}).$$

Then, by Lemma 5.15 or by Lemma 4 of [2], for $z^{(i)} \in Z_i^{(M/K)}$, the product $\prod_i z^{(i)}$ converges to an element in $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}}^\diamond$. Let

$$Z_{M/K} \left(\{K_i \cap M, f_i^{(M/K)}\} \right) = \left\{ \prod_i z^{(i)} : z^{(i)} \in Z_i^{(M/K)} \right\},$$

which is a topological subgroup of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}}^\diamond$. Introduce the topological $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ -submodule $Y_{M/K} \left(\{K_i \cap M, f_i^{(M/K)}\} \right) = Y_{M/K}$ of $U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}}^\diamond$ by

$$Y_{M/K} = \left\{ y \in U_{\widetilde{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}} : y^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{M/K} \left(\{K_i \cap M, f_i^{(M/K)}\} \right) \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.28. *The norm map $\widetilde{N}_{L/M} : \widetilde{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\times \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\times$ introduced by eq.s (5.15) and (5.16) further satisfies*

$$(i) \quad \widetilde{N}_{L/M} \left(Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i^{(L/K)}\}) \right) \subseteq Z_{M/K} \left(\{K_i \cap M, f_i^{(M/K)}\} \right);$$

(ii) $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(Y_{L/K}) \subseteq Y_{M/K}$.

Proof. Recall that, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)^\times$ is a continuous mapping.

- (i) For any choice of $z^{(i)} \in Z_i^{(L/K)}$, the continuity of the multiplicative arrow $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)^\times$ yields

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M} \left(\prod_i z^{(i)} \right) = \prod_i \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(z^{(i)}),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(z^{(i)}) \in Z_i^{(M/K)}$ by the commutative square (5.51).

- (ii) Now let $y \in Y_{L/K}$. Then, $y^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{L/K}(\{K_i, f_i^{(L/K)}\})$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(y^{1-\varphi}) = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(y)^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{M/K}(\{K_i \cap M, f_i^{(M/K)}\})$ by part (i). Now the result follows. $\square$

Thus, the norm map $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)^\times$ of eq. (5.15) defined by eq. (5.16) induces a group homomorphism, which will again be called the *Coleman norm map from L to M*,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} : U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}^\diamond / Y_{M/K} \quad (5.52)$$

and defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}}(\overline{U}) = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}(U).Y_{M/K}, \quad (5.53)$$

for every $U \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$, where $\overline{U}$ denotes, as usual, the coset $U.Y_{L/K}$ in $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K}$.

Let

$$\Phi_{M/K}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}^\diamond / Y_{M/K}$$

be the corresponding Fesenko reciprocity map defined for the extension M/K , where $Y_{M/K} = Y_{M/K}(\{K_i \cap M, f_i^{(M/K)}\})$.

Theorem 5.29. *For the Galois sub-extension M/K of L/K , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(L/K) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \\ \text{res}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} \\ \text{Gal}(M/K) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{M/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}^\diamond / Y_{M/K}, \end{array} \quad (5.54)$$

where the right-vertical arrow

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} : U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(M/K)}^\diamond / Y_{M/K}$$

is the Coleman norm map from L to M defined by eq.s (5.52) and (5.53), is commutative.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \\ \tilde{N}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{N}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} \\ U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\diamond / Y_{M/K} \end{array}$$

is commutative, which is obvious. Then pasting this square with the square eq. (5.19) as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Gal}(L/K) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \\ \text{res}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{N}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} & & \downarrow \tilde{N}_{L/M}^{\text{Coleman}} \\ \text{Gal}(M/K) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{M/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(M/K)}^\diamond / Y_{M/K} \end{array}$$

the commutativity of the square eq. (5.54) follows. $\square$

Now, let F/K be a finite sub-extension of L/K . Then, as F is *compatible* with (K, φ) , in the sense of [13] pp. 89, we may fix the Lubin-Tate splitting over F to be $\varphi_F = \varphi_K = \varphi$. Thus, there exists the chain of field extensions

$$K \subseteq F \subseteq L \subseteq K_\varphi \subseteq F_\varphi,$$

where L is a totally-ramified APF-Galois extension over F by Lemma 3.3. As $\mu_p(K^{\text{sep}}) = \mu_p(F^{\text{sep}})$, the inclusion $\mu_p(F^{\text{sep}}) \subset F$ is satisfied. That is, the local field F satisfies the condition given by eq. (5.26).

Now, the basic ascending chain of sub-extensions in L/K fixed in eq. (5.27) base changed to F

$$F = K_o F \subseteq K_1 F \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_i F \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L \quad (5.55)$$

is *almost* a basic ascending chain of sub-extensions in L/F , which follows by the isomorphisms $\text{res}_{K_i} : \text{Gal}(K_i F / F) \simeq \text{Gal}(K_i / K_i \cap F)$ and $\text{res}_{K_i} : \text{Gal}(K_{i+1} F / K_i F) \simeq \text{Gal}(K_{i+1} / K_{i+1} \cap K_i F)$ for every $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, by primitive element theorem, there exists an $0 \leq i_o \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $F \subseteq K_{i_o}$. Choosing the minimal such i_o , the ascending chain (5.55) becomes

$$F = K_o F \subseteq K_1 F \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_{i_o-1} F = K_{i_o} \subset K_{i_o+1} \subset \cdots \subset L.$$

For each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by σ_i the element in $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$ that satisfies

$$\langle \sigma_i |_{K_i} \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_i / K_{i-1}).$$

Now, for $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, introduce the elements σ_i^* in $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{F})$ that satisfies

$$\langle \sigma_i^* |_{K_i F} \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_i F / K_{i-1} F)$$

as follows :

- (i) in case $i > i_o$, then define $\sigma_i^* = \sigma_i$;

(ii) in case $i \leq i_o$, then define

$$\sigma_i^* = \begin{cases} \sigma_i, & K_{i-1}F \subset K_iF; \\ \text{id}_{K_iF}, & K_{i-1}F = K_iF. \end{cases}$$

It is then clear that, for each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the elements σ_i^* of $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{F})$ satisfies

$$\langle \sigma_i^* |_{K_iF} \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_iF/K_{i-1}F),$$

and for almost all i , $\sigma_i^* = \sigma_i$. Moreover, for each $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(K_{k+1}F/K_kF) \xrightarrow{\Xi_{K_{k+1}F/K_kF}} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}} / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^*-1} \\ \downarrow r_{K_{k+1}} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}}^* \\ \text{Gal}(K_{k+1}/K_k) \xrightarrow{\Xi_{K_{k+1}/K_k}} U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}} / U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^*-1}, \end{array}$$

is commutative, as $\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}}^*(\pi_{K_{k+1}F}) = \pi_{K_{k+1}}$ by the *norm coherence* of the Lubin-Tate labelling $(\pi_{K'})_{\underbrace{K \subseteq K' \subset K_\varphi}_{[K':K] < \infty}}$. Hence,

$$\tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}}^* \left(T_k^{(L/F)} \right) = T_k^{(L/K)}.$$

Now, by Theorem 5.14 there exists an arrow

$$h_k^{(L/F)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_kF}}^{\sigma_i^*-1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_i^*-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^*-1}$$

which splits the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad h_k^{(L/F)} \quad} \\ 1 \longrightarrow T_k^{(L/F)'} \longrightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_i^*-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^*-1} \xrightarrow{\quad \tilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}}^* \quad} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_kF}}^{\sigma_i^*-1} \longrightarrow 1. \end{array} \quad (5.56)$$

Now, choose an arrow

$$h_k^{(L/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_i-1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1} \quad (5.57)$$

which splits the exact sequence (5.47) in such a way that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xleftarrow{h_k^{(L/F)}} & \\
 \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_i^* - 1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^* - 1} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}F}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_kF}}^{\sigma_i^* - 1} \\
 \downarrow \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}}^* & & \downarrow \widetilde{N}_{K_kF/K_k} \\
 \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_i - 1} \right) / U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}/K_{k+1}}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i - 1} \\
 & \xleftarrow{h_k^{(L/K)}} &
 \end{array} \quad (5.58)$$

is a commutative square. The arrow eq. (5.57) is constructed by following the same lines of the construction of the arrow eq. (5.44). For each $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider any map

$$g_k^{(L/K)} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i - 1} \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_i - 1} \quad (5.59)$$

which commutes the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_kF}}^{\sigma_i^* - 1} & \xrightarrow{g_k^{(L/F)}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_i^* - 1} \\
 \downarrow \widetilde{N}_{K_kF/K_k} & & \downarrow \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}} \\
 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i - 1} & \xrightarrow{g_k^{(L/K)}} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_i - 1}.
 \end{array} \quad (5.60)$$

Note that, such a map satisfies

$$h_k^{(L/K)} = g_k^{(L/K)} \mod U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1}.$$

In fact, by the commutative diagram (5.58), for any $w \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_k}}^{\sigma_i - 1}$, there exists $v \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\widetilde{K_kF}}^{\sigma_i^* - 1}$ such that $w = \widetilde{N}_{K_kF/K_k}(v)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_k^{(L/K)}(w) &= h_k^{(L/K)} \left(\widetilde{N}_{K_kF/K_k}(v) \right) \\
 &= \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}}^* \left(h_k^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \\
 &= \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}}^* \left(g_k^{(L/F)}(v) \cdot U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}F}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^* - 1} \right) \\
 &= \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}} \left(g_k^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \cdot U_{\widetilde{K_{k+1}}}^{\sigma_{k+1} - 1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and by the commutativity of the diagram (5.60),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widetilde{N}_{K_{k+1}F/K_{k+1}} \left(g_k^{(L/F)}(v) \right) &= g_k^{(L/K)} \left(\widetilde{N}_{K_kF/K_k}(v) \right) \\
 &= g_k^{(L/K)}(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equality

$$h_k^{(L/K)}(w) = g_k^{(L/K)}(w) \cdot U_{\tilde{K}_{k+1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}-1}$$

follows for every $w \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{\tilde{K}_k}^{\sigma_i-1}$.

Now, for each $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, introduce the map

$$f_i^{(L/K)} : U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$$

by

$$f_i^{(L/K)}(w) = \Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right),$$

where $v \in U_{\tilde{K}_i F}^{\sigma_i^*-1}$ is any element satisfying $\tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i}(v) = w \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}$. Note that, if $v' \in U_{\tilde{K}_i F}^{\sigma_i^*-1}$ such that $\tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i}(v') = w$, then $\Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) = \Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v') \right)$. In fact, there exists $u \in \ker \left(\tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i} \right)$ such that $v' = vu$. Thus, we have to verify that $\Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) = \Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(vu) \right)$. That is, for each $1 \leq j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have to check the equality

$$\Pr_{\tilde{K}_j} \left(\Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \right) = \Pr_{\tilde{K}_j} \left(\Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(vu) \right) \right). \quad (5.61)$$

Now, note that, for $j > i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{\tilde{K}_j} \left(\Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \right) &= \tilde{N}_{K_j F/K_j} \left(\Pr_{\tilde{K}_j F} \left(\Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \tilde{N}_{K_j F/K_j} \left(\Pr_{\tilde{K}_j F} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \right) \\ &= \tilde{N}_{K_j F/K_j} \left(g_{j-1}^{(L/F)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \\ &= g_{j-1}^{(L/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/K)} \left(\tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i}(v) \right), \end{aligned}$$

by the commutativity of the square eq. (5.60). Thus, equality (5.61) follows, as $\tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i}(v) = \tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i}(vu)$. Thus, the map

$$f_i^{(L/K)} : U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}$$

is well-defined. Moreover, for $j > i$,

$$\Pr_{\tilde{K}_j} \circ f_i^{(L/K)} = \left(g_{j-1}^{(L/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/K)} \right) |_{U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}}.$$

In fact, for $w \in U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1}$, there exists $v \in U_{\tilde{K}_i F}^{\sigma_i^*-1}$ such that $\tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i}(v) = w$, and $f_i^{(L/K)}(w) = \Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right)$. That is, the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\tilde{K}_i F}^{\sigma_i^*-1} & \xrightarrow{f_i^{(L/F)}} & U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)} \\ \tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Lambda_{F/K} \\ U_{\tilde{K}_i}^{\sigma_i-1} & \xrightarrow{f_i^{(L/K)}} & U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)} \end{array} \quad (5.62)$$

is commutative. Thus, for $j > i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr_{\tilde{K}_j} \circ f_i^{(L/K)}(w) &= \Pr_{\tilde{K}_j} \circ \Lambda_{F/K} \left(f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \\
&= \tilde{N}_{K_j F/K_j} \left(\Pr_{\tilde{K}_j F} \circ f_i^{(L/F)}(v) \right) \\
&= \tilde{N}_{K_j F/K_j} \left((g_{j-1}^{(L/F)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/F)})(v) \right) \\
&= (g_{j-1}^{(L/K)} \circ \dots \circ g_i^{(L/K)}) \left(\tilde{N}_{K_i F/K_i}(v) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

by the commutativity of the diagram (5.60), which is the desired equality.

Let, for each $0 < i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$Z_i^{(L/K)} = \text{im}(f_i^{(L/K)}).$$

Then, by Lemma 5.15 or by Lemma 4 of [2], for $z^{(i)} \in Z_i^{(L/K)}$, the product $\prod_i z^{(i)}$ converges to an element in $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$. Let

$$Z_{L/K} \left(\{K_i, f_i^{(L/K)}\} \right) = \left\{ \prod_i z^{(i)} : z^{(i)} \in Z_i^{(L/K)} \right\},$$

which is a topological subgroup of $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$. Introduce the topological $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -submodule $Y_{L/K} \left(\{K_i, f_i^{(L/K)}\} \right) = Y_{L/K}$ of $U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond$ by

$$Y_{L/K} = \left\{ y \in U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond : y^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{L/K} \left(\{K_i, f_i^{(L/K)}\} \right) \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.30. *The continuous homomorphism $\Lambda_{F/K} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times$ introduced by eq.s (5.20) and (5.21) further satisfies*

- (i) $\Lambda_{F/K} \left(Z_{L/F}(\{K_i F, f_i^{(L/F)}\}) \right) \subseteq Z_{L/K} \left(\{K_i, f_i^{(L/K)}\} \right);$
- (ii) $\Lambda_{F/K}(Y_{L/F}) \subseteq Y_{L/K}.$

Proof. (i) For any choice of $z^{(i)} \in Z_i^{(L/F)}$, the continuity of the multiplicative arrow $\Lambda_{F/K} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times$ yields

$$\Lambda_{F/K} \left(\prod_i z^{(i)} \right) = \prod_i \Lambda_{F/K}(z^{(i)}),$$

where $\Lambda_{F/K}(z^{(i)}) \in Z_i^{(L/K)}$ by the commutative square (5.62).

- (ii) Now let $y \in Y_{L/F}$. Then, $y^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{L/F}(\{K_i F, f_i^{(L/F)}\})$. Thus, $\Lambda_{F/K}(y^{1-\varphi}) = \Lambda_{F/K}(y)^{1-\varphi} \in Z_{L/K} \left(\{K_i, f_i^{(L/K)}\} \right)$ by part (i). Now the result follows. $\square$

Thus, the homomorphism $\Lambda_{F/K} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)^\times$ of eq. (5.20) defined by eq. (5.21) induces a group homomorphism,

$$\lambda_{F/K} : U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/F)}^\diamond / Y_{L/F} \rightarrow U_{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \quad (5.63)$$

and defined by

$$\lambda_{F/K}(\overline{U}) = \Lambda_{F/K}(U) \cdot Y_{L/K}, \quad (5.64)$$

for every $U \in U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond$, where $\overline{U}$ denotes, as usual, the coset $U \cdot Y_{L/F}$ in $U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / Y_{L/F}$.

Let

$$\Phi_{L/F}^{(\varphi)} : \text{Gal}(L/F) \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / Y_{L/F}$$

be the corresponding Fesenko reciprocity map defined for the extension L/F , where $Y_{L/F} = Y_{L/F} \left(\{K_i F, f_i^{(L/F)}\} \right)$.

Theorem 5.31. *For the finite sub-extension F/K of L/K , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{L/F}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / Y_{L/F} \\ \text{inc.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{F/K} \\ \text{Gal}(L/K) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K}, \end{array} \quad (5.65)$$

where the right-vertical arrow

$$\lambda_{F/K} : U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / Y_{L/F} \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K}$$

is defined by eq.s (5.63) and (5.64), is commutative.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / Y_{L/F} \\ \lambda_{F/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{F/K} \\ U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \end{array}$$

is commutative, which is obvious. Then pasting this square with the square eq. (5.24) as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Gal}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/F}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/F)}^\diamond / Y_{L/F} \\ \text{inc.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{L/F} & & \downarrow \lambda_{L/F} \\ \text{Gal}(L/K) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} & U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} \end{array}$$

the commutativity of the square eq. (5.65) follows. $\square$

If L/K is furthermore a finite extension, then the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\mathbb{X}(L/K)}^\diamond / Y_{L/K} & \xrightarrow{H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}} & \text{Gal}(L/K) \\ \text{Pr}_{\overline{K}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{mod Gal}(L/K)' \\ U_K / N_{L/K} U_L & \xrightarrow{h_{L/K}} & \text{Gal}(L/K)^{ab} \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus the inverse $H_{L/K}^{(\varphi)} = (\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)})^{-1}$ of the Fesenko reciprocity map $\Phi_{L/K}^{(\varphi)}$ defined for L/K is the generalization of the Hazewinkel map for the totally-ramified APF-Galois sub-extensions L/K of K_φ/K under the assumption that the local field K satisfies the condition given by eq. (5.26).

References

- [1] I. B. Fesenko, *Local reciprocity cycles*, Invitation to Higher Local Fields (Ed. I. B. Fesenko, M. Kurihara), Geometry & Topology Monographs **3**, Warwick, 2000, 293-298.
- [2] I. B. Fesenko, *Noncommutative local reciprocity maps*, Class Field Theory - Its Centenary and Prospect (Ed. K. Miyake), Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **30**, 2001, 63-78.
- [3] I. B. Fesenko, *On the image of noncommutative local reciprocity map*, Homology, Homotopy and Appl. **7**, 2005, 53-62.
- [4] I. B. Fesenko, S. V. Vostokov, *Local Fields and Their Extensions: A Constructive Approach*, AMS Translations of Mathematical Monographs **121**, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1993.
- [5] J.-M. Fontaine, J.-P. Wintenberger, *Le "corps des normes" de certaines extensions algébriques de corps locaux*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A Math. **288**, 1979, 367-370.
- [6] J.-M. Fontaine, J.-P. Wintenberger, *Extensions algébriques et corps des normes des extensions APF des corps locaux*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A Math. **288**, 1979, 441-444.
- [7] A. Gurevich, *Description of Galois groups of local fields with the aid of power series*, Ph.D. Thesis, Humboldt Univ., Berlin, 1998.
- [8] M. Hazewinkel, *Local class field theory is easy*, Adv. Math. **18**, 148-181.
- [9] K. I. Ikeda, M. Ikeda, *Two lemmas on formal power series*, Turk. J. of Math. **23**, 1999, 435-440.
- [10] K. I. Ikeda, E. Serbest, *Non-abelian local class field theory*, preprint.
- [11] K. I. Ikeda, E. Serbest, *A remark on Sen's theory on Galois representations*, in preparation.
- [12] K. Iwasawa, *Local Class Field Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press and Clarendon Press, Oxford and New York, 1986.
- [13] H. Koch and E. de Shalit, *Metabelian local class field theory*, J. reine angew. Math. **478**, 1996, 85-106.
- [14] F. Laubie, *Une théorie du corps de classes local non abélien*, Compositio Math. **143**, 2007, 339-362.
- [15] J. Neukirch, *Class Field Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and Heidelberg, 1986.

- [16] J.-P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, 2^e éd., Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago, Actualités Sci. Indust. **1296**, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [17] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux; applications*, Ann. sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **46**, 1983, 59-89.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISTANBUL BILGI UNIVERSITY, KURTULUŞ
DERESİ CAD. NO. 47, DOLAPDERE, 34440 BEYOĞLU, ISTANBUL, TURKEY
E-mail : ilhan@bilgi.edu.tr
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ATILIM UNIVERSITY, KIZILCAŞAR KÖYÜ,
İNCEK, 06836 GÖLBAŞI, ANKARA, TURKEY
E-mail : erols73@yahoo.com